



The Tech Revolution in International Arbitration: The Impact and Use of AI on Lawyering and Adjudicating. *

Summary

*** Please note that this summary of the panel was AI-generated and therefore has not been fully vetted for accuracy.**

The session on Day 4 of Washington Arbitration Week, hosted by Ian Laird from Crowell & Moring, focused on the transformative impact of artificial intelligence (AI) in international arbitration. The panel featured notable participants including Annie Lesperance, Liz, Kelly Bellina, and Jeff Singer, who shared insights on the current and potential uses of AI in legal practices.

The discussion began with an overview of the various applications of AI in arbitration, particularly in streamlining research and analysis of lengthy arbitration awards. Liz highlighted her use of AI tools like ChatGPT to efficiently handle extensive data sets and summarize key arguments in arbitration cases. Kelly emphasized the importance of AI in enhancing legal research and document preparation, while Jeff discussed the ethical considerations and limitations of AI in his role as an arbitrator.

The panel also addressed the risks associated with AI, such as the potential for inaccuracies, biases in data sets, and the challenges of handling complex legal tasks. They noted the importance of verifying AI-generated information to maintain the integrity of legal work. Emerging guidelines and regulations were discussed, with JAMS and the Silicon Valley Arbitration & Mediation Center taking proactive steps to establish frameworks for the use of AI in arbitration.

Looking ahead, the panelists expressed optimism about AI's potential to revolutionize legal practices, reduce reliance on junior associates for routine tasks, and shift business models away from traditional billable hours. They emphasized the need for ongoing training and adaptation within law firms to effectively leverage these technologies.

The session concluded with a call for collaboration among legal professionals to navigate the evolving landscape of AI in arbitration and to ensure that its implementation enhances access to justice and efficiency in legal processes.



Authors

Annie Lesperance, Kelby Ballena, Jeff M. Senger, Esq, Elizabeth Dye, Benjamin I. Malek

Topics

AWS

Category

WAW

Full Transcript

00:00:07

Well, good morning everyone and

00:00:09

welcome to day 4 of

00:00:13

Washington, arbitration week. See a

00:00:17

lot of friendly but maybe

00:00:19

a little tired phases of

00:00:22

the folks and being at

00:00:25

our sessions all week, and

00:00:27

so thank you for your

00:00:29

attendance and thanks to the

00:00:31

virtually online dialing into this



00:00:36
morning session. Let me introduce

00:00:38
myself for those who may

00:00:40
not know me. My name

00:00:41
is Ian Laird. I'm a

00:00:43
partner here at Crowell &

00:00:45
morning, who is the? We

00:00:47
are hosting. This morning's tests

00:00:50
first two sessions of the

00:00:51
day and I'm very happy

00:00:52
that we can do. So

00:00:54
the other hat I'm wearing

00:00:56
is, is as co-chair of

00:00:58
Washington arbitration week, so I



00:01:02

would like to thank Crowell

00:01:03

& moring. Thank You, Ian.

00:01:07

Yes, you're welcome, but Washington

00:01:13

arbitration week is now in

00:01:14

its fifth year. And I

00:01:16

think this week, we've shown

00:01:18

that we only go from

00:01:20

strength to strength in and

00:01:22

are continuing to grow and

00:01:24

develop new programs and the

00:01:26

enthusiasm of the law firms.

00:01:28

And that and the support

00:01:30

organization, the experts at the



00:01:32
institutions as being extraordinary. So

00:01:37
thank you to all of

00:01:38
our sponsors and supporters, you

00:01:43
know, without your efforts and

00:01:45
all of your organizational skill

00:01:48
and and Technical skill and

00:01:50
enthusiasm for international arbitration. Obviously

00:01:55
we couldn't make this happen.

00:01:57
So thank you again, I

00:01:59
can't say that enough. This

00:02:01
morning, we have a panel,

00:02:04
which really it's pretty much

00:02:07
a Cutting Edge issue. And



00:02:08

we always like to do

00:02:09

at least a few panels

00:02:11

on technology related issues but

00:02:14

the panel this morning is

00:02:16

particularly current and I think

00:02:21

we're going to hear some

00:02:21

interesting perspectives from our panel.

00:02:24

The title of our session

00:02:27

is the tech revolution in

00:02:30

international arbitration the impact and

00:02:32

use of a eye on

00:02:34

lawyer lawyer ring and adjudicated.

00:02:36

So very much looking forward



00:02:38

to that. Our moderator is

00:02:41

Annie lesperance. She's the head

00:02:43

of Americas at the juice

00:02:46

Monday which is of course

00:02:51

the well-used certainly by me

00:02:54

and the many others I

00:02:56

think of this room website

00:02:58

of international arbitration materials. You

00:03:01

know, the case law a

00:03:02

collection is fantastic. It's now

00:03:05

developed. Collection of Articles and

00:03:09

treatises of books and of

00:03:13

course it's arbitrator. Dada is



00:03:17

par none and it's really

00:03:19

a pleasure to partner with

00:03:21

just Monday. They made huge

00:03:25

strides. I know the AI

00:03:27

element is something that they've

00:03:29

been very active on and

00:03:31

in the Forefront. And I

00:03:32

think this is great having

00:03:34

any here and she in

00:03:36

particular has had a wealth

00:03:39

of experience in international arbitration.

00:03:41

She's worked for funders at

00:03:44

Bridgeway. She's being the council



00:03:47

working with you for today

00:03:48

and Kevin a fortune in

00:03:50

Montreal and as well as

00:03:53

working at one of our

00:03:54

top institutions be permanent Court

00:03:56

of arbitration as Council so

00:03:59

we are in very good

00:04:01

hands this morning with an

00:04:04

e and I want to

00:04:06

thank Aunt. For her work

00:04:08

and thanked the panel in

00:04:09

advance for agreeing to come

00:04:12

to the session. And I



00:04:13
will pass the microphone over

00:04:16
to any and let's get

00:04:18
going. Thank you. Thank you

00:04:21
very much for this that

00:04:23
introduction and thanks to a

00:04:26
Washington arbitration week organizers to

00:04:29
the entire team attack strategy

00:04:31
for helping with the logistics

00:04:33
of all you and your

00:04:36
firm. Adeline. We are honored

00:04:46
to have with us at

00:04:47
our house panel of enthusiasts.

00:04:51
Each of them bring their



00:04:54
own special perspective to our

00:04:59
topic today. And I will

00:05:01
let each and every one

00:05:02
of them introduce themselves, starting

00:05:03
with the list. Everyone, my

00:05:09
name is Liz. I I

00:05:10
am a senior associate at

00:05:11
Pillsbury, Winthrop Shaw and I

00:05:15
focus on construction. I am

00:05:26
Kelly bellina and specialist at

00:05:28
Hughes Hubbard & Reed. I've

00:05:30
been working as a paralegal

00:05:31
for about 25 years but



00:05:33

died recently in the last

00:05:34

three working with this is

00:05:36

about land and find development

00:05:37

which one of the things

00:05:39

were going to talk about

00:05:39

that. Today the things from

00:05:41

the networking, the building from

00:05:43

profiles and and and really

00:05:45

finding have the money is

00:05:47

made in collaboration as opposed

00:05:49

to competition and I'm currently

00:05:52

on the mediator for ITA

00:05:56

in review on the communications



00:05:58

board there and explore the

00:06:01

area of thinking about legal

00:06:03

and illegal technology. Good morning.

00:06:08

My name is Jeff singer.

00:06:09

I'm an arbitrator and mediator

00:06:12

with jams. Also, on the

00:06:14

panel of the Singapore, International

00:06:17

arbitration Center and CPR in

00:06:21

New York, I was before

00:06:23

joining jams. I was in

00:06:25

international Life, Sciences partner at

00:06:27

sidley, Austin when was the

00:06:29

acting general counsel of the



00:06:30

Food and Drug Administration. Major

00:06:32

life sciences cases. I'm also

00:06:34

a professor at Columbia and

00:06:37

Harvard Law School. Thank you.

00:06:40

So today are panel will

00:06:43

be divided into two segments.

00:06:45

In the first, we will

00:06:46

discuss the various types of

00:06:48

possible use cases for a

00:06:51

i in arbitration like to

00:06:52

give show you some practical

00:06:53

examples of how you can

00:06:55

leverage AI in your daily



00:06:56

practice. We will also discuss

00:06:58

the risks associated with the

00:06:59

use of AI and emerging

00:07:01

guidelines and regulations that are

00:07:04

trying to address and curtail

00:07:06

and these risks. And in

00:07:07

the second segment of our

00:07:09

panel, you will discuss the

00:07:11

transformative impact of a eye

00:07:13

on the legal and Industry.

00:07:16

So let's kick it off

00:07:17

with our first segment as

00:07:20

you've heard, we had the



00:07:21

benefit of having an arbitrator

00:07:22

Council and paralegal and a

00:07:25

representative of an AI provider

00:07:27

on the panel. So I'd

00:07:29

like to do a little

00:07:30

bit of a tool that

00:07:31

he's dumb and ask each

00:07:33

of you to explain with

00:07:35

how you do you leverage

00:07:36

and a I currently in

00:07:38

your daily activity. And perhaps,

00:07:40

let's start with will go,

00:07:43

I will follow the same



00:07:44

order. Let's start with Liz.

00:07:48

Chirp. So in my practice

00:07:56

in particular, no, I see

00:07:59

a lot of benefit in

00:08:00

especially and, you know, investor-state

00:08:04

arbitration. You have these really

00:08:05

long Awards, really long decisions

00:08:07

arbitrators with no storing careers

00:08:11

and so a lot of

00:08:12

decisions piling up. So I

00:08:14

think AI is a great

00:08:17

tool to really streamline initial

00:08:20

research, and Analysis, and analyzing



00:08:25

those larger data sets, much

00:08:26

more quickly and efficiently than

00:08:28

you could do on your

00:08:29

own. So like, for example,

00:08:41

Okay, thank you. I remember

00:08:47

one particular assignment I had

00:08:49

early on when I was

00:08:50

a junior associate and I

00:08:51

was told to look at

00:08:52

all of the preliminary measures

00:08:54

decisions of all the arbitrators

00:08:56

on the panel. and, That

00:09:02

was a big task. So



00:09:04

I thought I would give

00:09:06

you sort of an example.

00:09:08

Of how you can use

00:09:10

our firm in particular, uses

00:09:13

chat. 250, we are currently

00:09:15

licensing people who have completed

00:09:17

training. So this is an

00:09:19

example of how I would

00:09:20

use GPT in my practice.

00:09:22

So, this is a recent

00:09:24

poll preliminary measures decision. And

00:09:27

the RTI case think it

00:09:29

came out like very recently.



00:09:30

And so, you know, I

00:09:33

I I, I almost talked

00:09:34

to Jess GPT like it's

00:09:35

a junior associate. You really

00:09:37

need to give it a

00:09:37

lot of detail so I

00:09:39

gave her a promise. I

00:09:40

said, you're an associate a

00:09:41

large Law Firm. You're focusing

00:09:42

on International arbitration disputes has

00:09:45

been given the task of

00:09:46

analyzing recent decision. A copy

00:09:48

of the session is attached.



00:09:49

So I attached the document

00:09:51

attached to the surgeon. I

00:09:52

asked to create an outline

00:09:53

and a chart. Sometimes, I

00:09:55

create my own chart and

00:09:56

work order PDF and I'll

00:09:57

feed attend, so, it'll produce,

00:09:58

kind of what I'm exactly

00:10:00

what I'm looking for. And

00:10:02

so, and I asked in

00:10:03

particular, to start with the

00:10:04

party's Representatives arguments, the claimants,

00:10:06

the respondents, and then the



00:10:08

Tribunal What pass in particular

00:10:10

they focused on for the

00:10:11

decision on provisional measures. And

00:10:14

so it started with this

00:10:14

outline and it gave me

00:10:15

a really nice summary that,

00:10:17

you know, I would have

00:10:18

started with it would have

00:10:20

taken me time, but it's

00:10:21

really easy for it to

00:10:21

just summer. I pull these

00:10:23

backs out. I know who

00:10:25

the representatives are. It goes



00:10:28
through the key arguments. So

00:10:31
there was the permutation jurisdiction,

00:10:33
and then it said, okay,

00:10:35
well the claimants focused on

00:10:36
necessity of proportionality, I kind

00:10:39
of gave three bullet points.

00:10:40
Then it went through the

00:10:42
respondents arguments, and then I

00:10:45
went to the tribunal's decision.

00:10:46
And it said, what? Legal

00:10:47
test that use the findings,

00:10:49
what they ordered and I

00:10:52
said, okay, that's great. Here's



00:10:54

the summary chart in case,

00:10:55

that was something that would

00:10:56

be helpful to me, cuz

00:10:57

I like to see things

00:10:58

in a couple different ways.

00:10:59

And so, then I said,

00:11:01

can you please identify the

00:11:02

tribunal numbers? Cuz I'm interested

00:11:04

in kind of seeing how

00:11:05

they for their president. What

00:11:08

the hell, they make decisions

00:11:09

that identify the arbitrator's to

00:11:11

great. Can you look through



00:11:13
publicly-available decisions to George's or

00:11:16
Awards on provisional measures? It

00:11:18
should buy these tribunal members

00:11:19
create a list for me.

00:11:21
Which is all things that

00:11:22
I would have had to

00:11:23
do. You know, coming through

00:11:24
the various websites that have

00:11:26
all these publicly-available decisions and

00:11:27
it would have taken me,

00:11:28
you know, probably hours or

00:11:31
as chat. TBT can really

00:11:32
synthesized thought process and so,



00:11:35

okay. Well, it didn't quite

00:11:37

understand my question and so

00:11:38

it really only focused on

00:11:39

the procedural orders in this

00:11:41

case. So I said, okay

00:11:43

thanks, I don't want that.

00:11:44

Can you actually look at

00:11:46

you? No other decisions in

00:11:48

other cases. And so then

00:11:50

it gave me the list.

00:11:53

Of their decisions including this

00:11:55

one in other cases. And

00:12:00

then, you know, the next



00:12:01

step, I think. I think

00:12:04

I said, are there any

00:12:05

decisions in particular that these

00:12:07

tribunal members tend to rely

00:12:08

on? So you kind of

00:12:10

know, like, okay, well, this

00:12:11

is what's interesting to these

00:12:12

particular arbitrators, this is what

00:12:14

they find convincing. And so,

00:12:17

then it created a list

00:12:19

and you can really go

00:12:19

on and on, in this

00:12:20

vein, specifying different Criterion, that



00:12:24

you're interested in. I find

00:12:28

that helpful in particular, when

00:12:30

you're dealing with a really,

00:12:31

really large dataset to initially

00:12:33

sift through everything and kind

00:12:35

of get a really nice

00:12:36

Quick List. And then I

00:12:38

think, you know, our task

00:12:41

has council is to you

00:12:44

know, then go through and

00:12:45

read the particular decision that

00:12:46

we find interesting. Make sure

00:12:48

that chat to PT isn't



00:12:49
hallucinating. Some case that doesn't

00:12:51
exist, make sure these things

00:12:53
exist and then that just

00:12:54
really helps to kick-start your

00:12:55
research and focus on particular

00:12:57
things. So, I mean, a

00:13:00
to, I find that that's

00:13:01
a great value out of

00:13:03
cat. Cotijas, just starting your

00:13:05
initial research and I think

00:13:13
no I think I think

00:13:18
and I think what this

00:13:19
shows is really useful and



00:13:22
impactful and East sides are

00:13:25
two doors are very much

00:13:26
that allow you to save

00:13:28
a lot of time in

00:13:29
order to do some summarization

00:13:31
tasks, as you've pointed out

00:13:33
to Liz. These are great

00:13:35
tools for that spits Kelby,

00:13:37
how do you use AI

00:13:40
in your daily practice? So

00:13:46
luckily I have the luxury

00:13:47
of being able to test

00:13:50
and beta test different types



00:13:52
of models, just for the

00:13:53
idea of my job is

00:13:54
to help the attorney, do

00:13:56
what they do best if

00:13:57
there's many ways of doing

00:13:58
that because there's many different

00:13:59
fields between international relations, is

00:14:01
all so many different fields

00:14:03
from commercial investment by, you

00:14:05
know, that that said there

00:14:08
are brainstorming tools out there

00:14:12
and one of them was

00:14:13
a tragedy PT and we



00:14:15

I will, I usually develop

00:14:17

it. But I've come from

00:14:18

firms where it's been restricted?

00:14:20

So find the benefit is

00:14:23

sometimes a little difficult. So

00:14:24

you have to swear to

00:14:25

explore and look at what

00:14:26

what other AI tools are

00:14:28

out there to find that

00:14:30

brainstorming component. But the other

00:14:34

uses the things like legal

00:14:36

research, writing being able to

00:14:39

find her so many platforms



00:14:41

out there that can help

00:14:42

you with these These past,

00:14:44

and they're all out there

00:14:47

including gods or Monday. And

00:14:50

not only that the world

00:14:53

has really changed as to

00:14:54

how we used to be

00:14:56

really profile ourselves in in

00:14:58

law firm. We are also

00:15:00

thinking about audio thinking about

00:15:03

video, what we're proposing a

00:15:05

LinkedIn how we're presenting you,

00:15:06

and I were on on



00:15:08

Zoom, doing hybrid, hearing things

00:15:10

like that. So are you

00:15:11

going to be? Your are

00:15:12

very important. How do you

00:15:13

go ahead and practice your

00:15:15

opening statement? For example. So

00:15:17

when they either, I would

00:15:19

say I would Leverage is

00:15:22

the script go ahead and

00:15:23

do your entire opening and

00:15:26

see what the AI will

00:15:28

tell you a little Sable

00:15:29

hate. You are repeating a



00:15:30

lot of words or you're

00:15:31

breaking down filler words every

00:15:35

now and then. So it's

00:15:36

something like this is is

00:15:37

is an example of what

00:15:39

you might see. What do

00:15:41

you say? I will help

00:15:42

you with. I actually use

00:15:43

this for a podcast on

00:15:45

podcast at the store. And

00:15:48

any time we finish an

00:15:50

interview, I'll go through this

00:15:51

and see how well are



00:15:52
the repetitive things that we

00:15:54
can serve cut to it?

00:15:55
That the interview sound a

00:15:57
little bit tighter presentation you

00:16:05
can quickly add video here

00:16:07
and there add caption all

00:16:09
that is really cute. He's

00:16:10
days things like teaching positions

00:16:17
as professors and counter counter

00:16:20
management project management. How many

00:16:23
people have difficulties with their

00:16:25
calendar? Finding that pocket of

00:16:26
time? I'm can I go



00:16:27
up to somebody right now?

00:16:28
And when are you available

00:16:29
for coffee? Do you have

00:16:31
to spend a lot of

00:16:32
time trying to figure out

00:16:32
when your next availability is

00:16:34
you lose that client opportunity.

00:16:37
If you say I have

00:16:38
to get back to you.

00:16:39
So there are a lot

00:16:40
of Are there a? I

00:16:41
fly out there and I

00:16:43
just encourage you, if we



00:16:44
don't have enough time but

00:16:45
I just encourage you to

00:16:46
kind of do your research

00:16:47
and the big one is

00:16:49
the one that we always

00:16:51
forget, is Hardware AI in

00:16:53
Hardware is crucial, everything from

00:16:55
will the webcams to the

00:16:57
cameras that we're using now?

00:16:59
Do they follow you to

00:17:00
the zoo man? Are they

00:17:02
available for Hybrid models? You

00:17:05
use them for Discovery, is



00:17:07

the person who is looking

00:17:09

at the document on your

00:17:10

computer, I'm the person that

00:17:11

should be looking. What happens

00:17:14

if I looked over her

00:17:15

shoulder, will they block my

00:17:17

view of a lot of

00:17:18

times that answer is? No,

00:17:20

because we're not using the

00:17:21

right technology, but that would

00:17:22

be really important for Discovery.

00:17:24

One of the topics I

00:17:25

did come up and other



00:17:26

panelists was the usage of

00:17:27

drums, and drones can be

00:17:30

an entire two-hour panel. Because

00:17:33

we can talk about how

00:17:34

we are using that and

00:17:35

commercial in arbitration and to

00:17:37

prevent issues. They can go

00:17:40

from infrared scanning of solar

00:17:42

panels, mining pipes, gas forming.

00:17:47

I don't know if anybody

00:17:48

anybody out there of has

00:17:50

clients that unit agricultural area

00:17:53

in the mining sector. In



00:17:54
the seabed mining sector, they're

00:17:57
all out there and they

00:17:58
all have their usage and

00:17:59
unfortunately can't get into the

00:18:01
details here, but also being

00:18:04
able to count stockpiles instantly

00:18:06
monitor construction. How you spell,

00:18:09
would this be that a

00:18:10
wise to not only help

00:18:13
in a present, an actual

00:18:14
arbitration, but to prevent an

00:18:17
arbitration. So I think the

00:18:21
metaverse being able to control



00:18:23

a drone from home, a

00:18:27

million miles of the thousand

00:18:28

miles away, and now it's

00:18:30

being integrated into robotics. And

00:18:32

that's an entire Topic at

00:18:34

itself. So AI has a

00:18:36

lot of benefits that many

00:18:38

of us are just not

00:18:40

getting into and we're very

00:18:42

we're still at that that

00:18:44

you could see my face

00:18:44

by wheeler that many of

00:18:46

our clients are already way



00:18:48
ahead of us. Shelby. There's

00:18:54
so many tools out there

00:18:56
and for those of you

00:18:57
who are trying to navigate

00:18:59
these types of tools, there's

00:19:00
a fantastic websites. Maybe I

00:19:03
can share it on the

00:19:03
screen and quickly. Legal technology.

00:19:14
Hub.com And that website lists

00:19:20
thousands and thousands of AI

00:19:23
tools out there for different

00:19:25
types, of practice area, and

00:19:26
the law and four different



00:19:29

types of use cases. So

00:19:31

here, for example, this is

00:19:32

the landing page, you could

00:19:33

type up for you then,

00:19:34

bro. I was rationed and

00:19:35

you would see a number

00:19:36

of tools. Wendy's in this

00:19:43

face. Then you can click

00:19:45

on them and see what

00:19:46

they offer. The type of

00:19:48

juice cases you can use

00:19:49

me to ask so it's

00:19:50

a nice way to navigate



00:19:51
the complexity of what's being

00:19:55
offered out there. How do

00:19:58
you use a AI as

00:20:00
an arbitrator? Do you use

00:20:02
it? If I don't, I

00:20:06
will All right, so I

00:20:14
am, I use arbitrator about

00:20:16
four different capacities, different things

00:20:18
to do, and I'll close

00:20:19
with as an arbitrator. But

00:20:21
as a professor, I have

00:20:23
used it probably daily and

00:20:27
one of the ways in



00:20:28
fact is to draft quiz

00:20:29
questions or exam questions at

00:20:32
the end of the semester.

00:20:33
And I am concerned that

00:20:35
the students are going to

00:20:36
use AI to answer the

00:20:39
question. So yesterday I asked

00:20:41
perplexity which is one of

00:20:43
the sites, I like to

00:20:45
use it how to draft

00:20:46
final exam questions that the

00:20:48
students could not use a

00:20:49
I effectively to answer and



00:20:52

it had is a very

00:20:53

useful and detailed response for

00:20:56

me. Suggesting context-dependent questions as

00:20:59

we discussed in class, give

00:21:02

your personal experiences things that

00:21:04

I would not be able

00:21:05

to answer. You know today

00:21:07

has not as good as

00:21:08

that abstract thinking as it

00:21:10

is with con. Specific thinking

00:21:15

concrete thinking I have a

00:21:17

draft draft slides for me

00:21:19

images, if you want to



00:21:22
say when this will happen,

00:21:23
when pigs fly, it'll give

00:21:25
you an image of pigs.

00:21:26
Flying that you can put

00:21:27
up on a PowerPoint and

00:21:28
the students sell like that.

00:21:31
As counsel at sidley, I

00:21:32
used quite a bit large

00:21:35
for document review and I

00:21:38
left Sibley and join jams

00:21:39
of afford. It really kicked

00:21:42
in, but I think those

00:21:42
cancel now I'd be using



00:21:44
it all the time. The

00:21:44
idea that you can take

00:21:46
thousands of pages of depositions

00:21:48
and therein lies, the instantly

00:21:50
several seconds, and it'll go

00:21:53
through all of them. And

00:21:55
I would ask you to

00:21:55
identify him. Consistencies between different

00:21:58
parties to suggest areas for

00:22:00
further development for further examination,

00:22:06
suggests questions for deposition questions

00:22:09
for cross examination. It's a

00:22:12
profound tool that it will



00:22:14
dramatically change the arbitration practice

00:22:17
as a mediator, I don't

00:22:19
use it that much a

00:22:20
neutral. I don't use it

00:22:21
that much, but I'll use

00:22:22
it for factual things as

00:22:23
an arbitrator particular, I am

00:22:25
hesitant to use it for

00:22:28
multiple reasons. The confidentiality is,

00:22:31
probably the first. I don't

00:22:32
think I can enter into

00:22:34
a large language model database.

00:22:38
Any of the materials that



00:22:40

the parties have given me

00:22:41

because I don't know where

00:22:42

it goes, Its it goes

00:22:43

somewhere it's stored somewhere. It

00:22:45

may or may not become

00:22:46

part of the large language

00:22:48

model that could be publicly

00:22:50

revealed in various contacts, you

00:22:52

can buy where you can

00:22:53

purchase a separate folder where

00:22:58

your material May. I'll let

00:23:00

you lie. Be kept confidential

00:23:01

but I'm not quite comfortable



00:23:04

doing that with the materials.

00:23:05

The party sent me, you

00:23:06

can use it to help

00:23:07

analyze cases. But as an

00:23:09

arbitrator, I'm not yet comfortable

00:23:11

with that because I think

00:23:12

the parties pay me for

00:23:14

my judgment and my experience,

00:23:15

not the Judgment experience of

00:23:18

God. She be t or

00:23:19

Gemini and so I have

00:23:23

not been using it a

00:23:24

whole lot final things you



00:23:26

can use it for research

00:23:27

as an arbitrator but I

00:23:30

don't like to do a

00:23:30

lot of outside research time

00:23:32

in the school that the

00:23:33

parties will tell me what

00:23:34

it is. They want me

00:23:35

to base the decision on

00:23:36

and don't want me doing

00:23:37

a lot of poking around

00:23:38

in other areas. So as

00:23:41

yet, it is not revolutionize.

00:23:43

My work as an arbitrator



00:23:44

but a thousand other fields.

00:23:48

Jeff. Have you mentioned, you

00:23:56

say, I so I thought

00:23:56

maybe I will show you

00:23:58

a bit of what we've

00:23:58

developed at at least windy.

00:24:15

Here we go. So for

00:24:18

those of you who don't

00:24:19

know, you so many that

00:24:20

well we've then we build

00:24:22

the most comprehensive database for

00:24:24

primary sources and international arbitration.

00:24:26

And this is thanks to



00:24:27

our Partnerships with more than

00:24:28

80 arbitration institutions and associations

00:24:31

worldwide. The data we've collected

00:24:33

include yes. Available from publicly

00:24:35

available sources as they relate

00:24:38

to arbitration including National Court

00:24:39

decisions on enforcement and setting

00:24:41

us. But we also collect

00:24:44

exclusive data coming from our

00:24:46

our partners that are not

00:24:47

necessarily available elsewhere. So with

00:24:52

you know the whole game

00:24:54

in related to Jenny I



00:24:56
is a data game. You

00:24:57
wanted to have the best

00:24:58
day. To be able to

00:24:59
provide the best output there,

00:25:01
two types of players, I

00:25:02
would say, in the market,

00:25:03
you have the me, no

00:25:04
legal tech companies that provide

00:25:08
external legal data, so that

00:25:10
users can actually do legal

00:25:13
research, true, that data. But

00:25:15
you also have then the

00:25:17
other Players that only deal



00:25:19

with Noah law, firms, own

00:25:20

proprietary data, and the Harvey's

00:25:22

are these types of tools?

00:25:24

Are you upload your documents

00:25:25

to the tool? And now

00:25:27

it is happening. Is the

00:25:28

traditional providers that have, these

00:25:29

know, this external legal data

00:25:31

or adding a layer of

00:25:33

Jenny iontach, this is what

00:25:34

we have done now that

00:25:35

you see, Wendy, but we've

00:25:37

also allowed our users to



00:25:40
interact with their own documents

00:25:41
to go as a user

00:25:43
of you see how you

00:25:44
can either interact only with

00:25:46
the entirety of our database

00:25:47
for legal research, or you

00:25:49
can upload documents to the,

00:25:50
to land in track with

00:25:51
those documents do summarization, I'm

00:25:54
drafting excetera or interact with

00:25:56
both. And that is the

00:25:57
added value that. I think

00:25:59
we bring to the table,



00:26:00

at least, in this Niche

00:26:01

area, which is international arbitration.

00:26:04

ISO. I'll take you to

00:26:07

the platform a little bit.

00:26:10

This is the landing page,

00:26:12

you see you say I

00:26:13

hear the top, you have

00:26:15

some suggestions as to how

00:26:16

you can use the tool

00:26:18

and then you have here.

00:26:20

The search bar where you

00:26:22

can type up your request

00:26:24

we have our prom guides



00:26:25

which provides you with fantastic

00:26:28

tips as to how to

00:26:29

maximize the value of these

00:26:31

tools? I going good prompting.

00:26:33

And so listen to the

00:26:35

tips include, you know, you

00:26:37

saw some of the prom

00:26:38

setlist made you given context

00:26:41

being clear and specific breaking

00:26:45

down complex problems. If you're

00:26:47

not happy with an answer

00:26:49

continue, dive into the tool

00:26:51

continue asking questions, expand and



00:26:55

and all of that. So

00:26:56

sure, I'm going to ask

00:26:57

at legal research. Question, how

00:27:00

can you use an MSN

00:27:02

Claus to avoid a fork

00:27:04

in the road provision, please

00:27:05

provide cuz I'm being polite

00:27:07

with the tool. Please provide

00:27:08

PreSonus. Orewards. That's a For

00:27:10

this point. And so now

00:27:12

the tool is searching the

00:27:14

entirety of your smoothies database

00:27:16

to the exclusion of the



00:27:17

World Wide. Web was not

00:27:18

like a g p t

00:27:19

but also takes information coming

00:27:21

from the World Wide Web.

00:27:22

This is only limited to

00:27:23

use Wendy's data and this

00:27:25

is how we mitigate against

00:27:27

the risk of hallucinations because

00:27:29

you'll see for every statement

00:27:31

made by the tool. There

00:27:32

is a footnote and the

00:27:35

footnote refers to a document

00:27:36

that exists on your Swinney



00:27:38

that you can go and

00:27:39

double check. Macy. You get

00:27:44

a response in in in

00:27:46

s, it's not necessarily a

00:27:48

perfect answer but it is

00:27:50

a master that you get

00:27:51

quite quickly, it gets you

00:27:53

a quick ideas and you

00:27:56

have begun a table explaining,

00:27:57

some of the, the case

00:27:58

law and or Awards, or

00:28:01

even Publications that are on,

00:28:03

you Celine Dion on this



00:28:05

point. Can you have all

00:28:11

of the sources you can

00:28:13

click on them to verify

00:28:16

that that the source is

00:28:19

accurate? And so here you

00:28:22

would quickly say on this

00:28:24

first one, it would take

00:28:25

you to the actual paragraph.

00:28:26

Paragraph 3:15 to takes you

00:28:29

to the doctor that exists

00:28:30

on your screen and takes

00:28:30

you straight to, to the

00:28:31

paragraph for the section, where



00:28:33
the information was taken from.

00:28:38
I will show you it

00:28:39
another example. I'm going to

00:28:43
start a new conversation with

00:28:45
the tool. And I'm going

00:28:48
to upload a document. Now

00:28:49
I'm actually going to have

00:28:50
pre uploaded some documents here

00:28:51
to the tool. So I'm

00:28:53
going to upload the visiting

00:28:54
problem, as last year. And

00:28:55
the claimants Memorial this was

00:28:57
a case under the ICC



00:28:58

rules and now I'm only

00:29:01

attracted with those two documents

00:29:02

that have been uploaded in

00:29:03

a safe and confidential obviously

00:29:05

manner, we are not interested

00:29:06

in retaining and he talked

00:29:08

to you in so those

00:29:09

are automatically deleted after 15

00:29:11

days and I'm going to

00:29:12

ask a simple question, summarization

00:29:15

question summarize the factual background

00:29:17

and listen detail, the claims

00:29:18

reporting the arguments made by



00:29:19

claimant. Can you get a

00:29:23

summary in matters of seconds

00:29:24

again with footnotes referring exactly

00:29:27

to where the information was

00:29:28

taken from in those two

00:29:29

documents you seen. Those were

00:29:31

two PDF documents as well.

00:29:32

That were uploaded. And again,

00:29:40

only I'm only tracking with

00:29:42

you, summon the SRT that

00:29:43

those two documents. Now, I'm

00:29:46

Council for respondent, I am

00:29:48

tasked with developing some counter-arguments



00:29:50

and some of these claims,

00:29:51

I want to only because

00:29:54

it's better to break down

00:29:55

to prom. So I only

00:29:57

want to understand the test

00:30:00

to authorize the addition of

00:30:01

a new claim, which is

00:30:02

one of the claims need

00:30:03

to be made by claiming

00:30:04

here. So I'm asking the

00:30:06

tool, can you outline the

00:30:07

conditions under which new claims

00:30:09

may be introduced in the



00:30:09

nicest arbitration and you saw

00:30:12

I clicked on the toggle

00:30:13

use Wendy data. So that

00:30:14

now I'm interacting with both

00:30:16

the documents that I've uploaded,

00:30:18

and also use Mondays database,

00:30:20

which is relevant and useful,

00:30:21

in terms of a legal

00:30:22

research, question to search, other

00:30:25

sources of data, 3C the

00:30:31

sources coming from use Wendy's

00:30:33

database and the documents themselves?

00:30:36

And now I'm Council for



00:30:39

his phone, and I want

00:30:40

to dress my account to

00:30:41

Memorial. So I can ask

00:30:42

the tool to draft and

00:30:43

this and that style Drive

00:30:45

account. For memorial on this

00:30:46

precise point. I'm incorporating, the

00:30:49

relevant, facts and legal arguments

00:30:51

to demonstrate. Why the addition

00:30:53

of a new claim should

00:30:54

be rejected Call saying it

00:31:02

to, mr. Lee, a perfect

00:31:03

job but you get a



00:31:04

dropped and seconds. The first

00:31:08

one at least and then

00:31:08

you can copy paste it

00:31:10

to a Word document and

00:31:11

continue working on it. So,

00:31:18

with interest of time I'll

00:31:19

meet you there, the third

00:31:20

example, I wanted to show

00:31:21

you this is to show

00:31:22

the multilingual capabilities as well.

00:31:24

If it to like this

00:31:25

especially in a context into

00:31:27

an international arbitration, very important



00:31:28

to be able to work

00:31:29

across languages to hear what

00:31:32

I'm going to do is

00:31:33

I'm going to upload the

00:31:34

ruta's de Lima transcript. This

00:31:37

is a 310 page transcript

00:31:39

in Spanish. And I'm going

00:31:44

to ask the tool to

00:31:44

summarize. The testimony of I

00:31:46

said a large was one

00:31:47

of the Witnesses. In the

00:31:50

case, I see one of

00:31:51

the expert is in the



00:31:51

room in this case. So

00:31:56

I think, you know, this

00:31:56

summer is a b shorts,

00:31:58

so I'm going to ask

00:31:59

the tool to expand on

00:32:00

it. I mean, this was

00:32:01

a multi-day hearing, so afford

00:32:04

a liner, is a b,

00:32:05

a r b store. So

00:32:07

I'm going to ask to

00:32:07

go to expand again with

00:32:09

the answers. You can continue

00:32:10

with the tool. So now



00:32:13

you have a more detailed

00:32:16

summary of his testimony against

00:32:19

taking from a document in

00:32:20

Spanish and then translate it

00:32:22

in English. Now, we can

00:32:24

I have the tool to

00:32:24

identify any weaknesses or contradictions

00:32:27

and his testimony. This is

00:32:29

very useful. When you're dropping

00:32:31

a poster in Greece to

00:32:32

double-check, whether there any angle,

00:32:33

you may have missed during

00:32:34

this year in Jensen and



00:32:41

2nd. and then, You can

00:32:49

also ask the tool to

00:32:50

draft cross-examination questions or a

00:32:53

foot of the Willard, on

00:32:54

the basis of these weaknesses

00:32:56

and contradictions. These are a

00:33:03

bit broad question. Can you

00:33:04

explain can you clarify to

00:33:07

Broad question? So then you

00:33:08

can continue interacting with the

00:33:09

tool and as a tool

00:33:11

can you read draft these

00:33:13

questions but in a leading



00:33:17

Way. And with a passive

00:33:20

aggressive, aggressive tone. Isn't it

00:33:28

true mr. Ballards and then

00:33:34

you can ask until to

00:33:35

translate all of this in

00:33:36

Spanish. So, I think, you

00:33:41

know, as we've discussed a

00:33:43

little bit, I think with

00:33:44

the examples that we show

00:33:45

this morning, and these types

00:33:46

of tools are fantastic in

00:33:47

terms of no, summarization translation

00:33:49

for everything that is related



00:33:53

to legal research as well.

00:33:54

Whenever you looking at drafting,

00:33:58

they're not meant to replace

00:34:00

lawyers, are the associate, our

00:34:03

partners on the case are

00:34:04

really there to get you

00:34:05

to that first draft much

00:34:06

more quickly and then to

00:34:08

continue working on it and

00:34:09

also to it's like a

00:34:10

sparring partner to help you

00:34:11

in that creative iteration process

00:34:13

of coming up with the



00:34:14
arguments and making sure that,

00:34:17
you know, you haven't forgotten

00:34:18
any blind spots potentially With

00:34:21
this being said, you know,

00:34:22
there are certain risks associated

00:34:24
with these types of tools.

00:34:25
And perhaps we should do

00:34:28
a little bit into a

00:34:29
discussions as to what types

00:34:31
of risks. Do they present?

00:34:33
And how can we mitigate

00:34:35
against? So stressed. And so

00:34:36
maybe I'll ask a list



00:34:37

to start with that. Sure.

00:34:39

So I see the first

00:34:41

arrest and I alluded to

00:34:42

this earlier. As hallucinations sometimes

00:34:45

chat to PT will just

00:34:46

make stuff up, like, sites

00:34:48

of cases, that doesn't exist.

00:34:49

If we've all seen the

00:34:50

legal news, lawyers who've gotten

00:34:53

sanctioned for just turning in

00:34:54

briefs that site cases that

00:34:56

don't exist. So you have

00:34:58

to really make sure that



00:35:00

you were checking the veracity

00:35:01

of what chat to beauty

00:35:03

or whatever truly are using

00:35:05

is coming up. Another one

00:35:07

is biases and the data

00:35:09

set. So all these tools

00:35:12

learn from the data that's

00:35:13

being fed into them and

00:35:15

these tools are sorry. The

00:35:18

data itself may have biases

00:35:20

in it so you just

00:35:22

need to keep that in

00:35:23

mind when you're adopting you



00:35:25

know some of the reasoning

00:35:26

or the announcer says that

00:35:27

the tools are spitting out

00:35:29

for you and be mindful.

00:35:31

That it it may exclude

00:35:32

some important data. And then

00:35:36

I think, you know, a

00:35:36

big challenge is just difficulty

00:35:39

with very complex tasks and

00:35:43

creativity when Annie was going

00:35:46

through her prompts. Breaking things

00:35:49

down into concrete questions, not

00:35:52

eating it too much at



00:35:53

once. It gets kind of

00:35:54

overloaded and garbled sometimes. And

00:35:58

I think with the specific

00:36:00

example of drafting, you know,

00:36:02

an initial brief, I think

00:36:05

it's really useful for an

00:36:06

initial preliminary, drafted goes for

00:36:08

the obvious you no arguments

00:36:11

and it just really seems

00:36:14

to miss sort of those

00:36:15

creative nuanced persuasive arguments and

00:36:19

writing that really makes legal

00:36:21

writing interesting. So I think



00:36:25

you would want to avoid

00:36:26

sort of using whatever the

00:36:28

tool gives you as your

00:36:30

final product. That's obvious. But

00:36:32

then really thinks you have

00:36:34

to do your own really

00:36:35

complex, high-level thinking and what

00:36:38

actually would persuade you know,

00:36:39

an arbitrator or A particular

00:36:42

arbitrator or you know what?

00:36:44

Where should this sentence Go

00:36:45

versus this sentence? I think

00:36:47

that's really lost on the



00:36:48

tools. And that's a big

00:36:50

limitation and just your own

00:36:52

work products that I see.

00:36:54

So that's that's, that's a

00:36:57

summary of kind of the

00:36:58

three big issues and risks

00:37:00

that I see. And then

00:37:02

in terms of mitigation strategies,

00:37:04

sorry, I was just a

00:37:05

brief training to the extent,

00:37:06

they're our training and just

00:37:07

being aware of these could

00:37:09

be some fees. Rest, there



00:37:19

are limitations here and I

00:37:23

think, I think about how

00:37:24

the ai's built, I don't

00:37:27

know how many people here,

00:37:28

might have a different default,

00:37:29

then, Google whatever is out

00:37:31

there is what the AI

00:37:33

is picking up, whether it's

00:37:34

right or wrong and I've

00:37:35

been on Google many times

00:37:37

and found something before, you

00:37:39

know, this AI Revolution and

00:37:41

I found some That was



00:37:42

wrong but it's out there.

00:37:43

Anybody could just write a

00:37:45

website and put out information,

00:37:46

Wiki is always with media

00:37:51

is is always kind of

00:37:54

correct and make sure that

00:37:55

they can verify their sources

00:37:56

yet. The AI is driving

00:37:58

from there. So the hallucinations

00:38:00

makes sense because this is

00:38:02

grabbing in and out of

00:38:03

different. Locations is not determined

00:38:05

whether it's right or wrong



00:38:06
for the quality is up

00:38:07
to us. And it it

00:38:09
really we also have to

00:38:11
think about the what's the

00:38:12
missing because everything that the

00:38:14
public may not be available

00:38:17
at all times. And how

00:38:19
many of us have gone

00:38:20
to a website and an

00:38:21
article that we're looking for

00:38:22
no longer exists because it

00:38:23
might be older made them

00:38:24
pulled. So the AI is



00:38:26

going to be missing things.

00:38:28

It's only going to know

00:38:30

what it knows except you

00:38:31

don't know what it knows.

00:38:32

So you really have to

00:38:35

Not just play around with

00:38:36

it but get to know

00:38:37

it yet. Intimate with the

00:38:39

the platform. Ask a questions

00:38:41

and get to know what

00:38:44

it is that you're, you're

00:38:45

seeking a starting at a

00:38:46

basic level and going from



00:38:48

there. And I think that's

00:38:49

a difficulty is the amount

00:38:50

of time that it does

00:38:52

take to get to a

00:38:53

level where you can feel

00:38:54

comfortable with it. Because if

00:38:57

you need to determine, I

00:38:58

guess where the mistakes are

00:39:00

whether you use Joshua tea

00:39:02

or some other software, you

00:39:04

really have to get familiar

00:39:05

with it. But I think

00:39:06

sometime Yeah, I think the



00:39:11

the flaws and I are

00:39:14

profound and fascinating but also

00:39:19

exist in the human world.

00:39:21

I mean there was started

00:39:22

by the AI makes mistakes

00:39:24

and has biases but so

00:39:26

do all of us should

00:39:28

we had good Associates but

00:39:29

they got things wrong and

00:39:31

they brought their own personal

00:39:32

biases in as well. So

00:39:33

AI doesn't have to be

00:39:34

perfect to be useful. Or



00:39:38

would you even to be

00:39:38

better than they are the

00:39:39

current world but there is

00:39:41

something creepy about the hallucinations.

00:39:44

I don't know how many

00:39:45

of you poke around in

00:39:46

a I but it, it,

00:39:48

it, it will Gaslight you

00:39:50

if it comes right out

00:39:51

with something, as if it

00:39:53

is God's truth is the

00:39:55

same way and it'll be

00:39:56

the right or wrong and



00:39:57

much of the time is,

00:39:58

right? And sometimes it's creepy,

00:40:00

how, how, right it is.

00:40:01

But it'll put the wrong

00:40:03

answer answer, just as confidently

00:40:05

and straightforwardly indirectly. It's the

00:40:07

right one, so you have

00:40:09

to double-check everything 12. All

00:40:13

I found helpful is an

00:40:17

app called chat.hub, which will

00:40:20

aggregate multiple sources on one

00:40:27

screen. So, if I just

00:40:28

want a factual question, when



00:40:29
did FDA regulate cosmetic eyelash?

00:40:35
Enhancer was when I had

00:40:36
recently for a mediation, and

00:40:38
I wanted a quick answer

00:40:39
and chat up. We'll pull

00:40:41
up perplexity and llama, and

00:40:43
Gemini, and Chachi PT on

00:40:45
one screen. And if all

00:40:47
four of them agree, I

00:40:49
have more confidence that is

00:40:50
right than if just one

00:40:52
of them does. Jess. And

00:40:56
I think it's as lawyers



00:40:57

know we have our ethical

00:40:59

Duty and responsibility to verify

00:41:02

the word that the attorney

00:41:04

work product that we put

00:41:05

down to two clients. But

00:41:08

are those rules enough in

00:41:09

this context, or do we

00:41:11

need more something different? What

00:41:13

are, what is the emerging

00:41:14

legal framework around you? No

00:41:16

mitigating against these there is

00:41:18

no AI present. Jeff, could

00:41:21

you dress up? Yes. There



00:41:23
is an emerging legal Frameworks.

00:41:25
Exactly. That's the way I

00:41:26
would put it in here.

00:41:27
I think it's in its

00:41:28
infancy and different organizations have

00:41:31
gone about in a different

00:41:32
ways and I don't think

00:41:33
it has been mastered yet,

00:41:34
but we're seeing beta attempt

00:41:38
at jams. In particular, was

00:41:41
the first provider organization to

00:41:44
wish you a set of

00:41:45
rules in April and I'll



00:41:48

talk about those and then

00:41:49

the Silicon Valley arbitration &

00:41:52

mediation Center did, as well

00:41:53

as well, talk about in

00:41:54

it. Later in this parking

00:41:56

but the jams rules cover

00:41:57

disputes involving artificial intelligence. Where

00:42:01

the subject matter of the

00:42:03

dispute is a i and

00:42:05

it has to start with

00:42:06

the definition of what is

00:42:07

a i as all of

00:42:09

you and I'll talk to



00:42:10

our court rules as well

00:42:12

and then stopped. So the

00:42:13

the definition is is is

00:42:15

got to be the foundation

00:42:17

of an inch of hard,

00:42:18

definition. The right and I

00:42:20

don't think I've read one

00:42:21

yet. That is totally satisfying

00:42:23

and the jams definition is

00:42:25

mint. Most of them at

00:42:26

8 to talk to Doug

00:42:27

cognition. What is a process?

00:42:31

A machine-based system. Capable of



00:42:34
completing tasks. That would otherwise

00:42:35
require cognition to sell week.

00:42:38
We sliced it. What is

00:42:41
cognition is not easy? It.

00:42:45
It is does cognition include

00:42:47
a memory or hard drives

00:42:51
are cognition. That doesn't include

00:42:53
algorithms like spell. Checkers, or

00:42:56
Google, or spam filters, or

00:42:59
translator programs, where, where is

00:43:01
the line a number back

00:43:03
to college? The definition of

00:43:04
humanity? What is human thinking?



00:43:08

Some of the definition of

00:43:09

Toca? What did humans do

00:43:10

that? No, with no other

00:43:11

animal does and kind of

00:43:14

hard and it will we

00:43:15

use tools was one that

00:43:16

was there was thought of

00:43:17

as humans are tool users,

00:43:19

many found a at 8,

00:43:21

that would take a break

00:43:23

off a stick and use

00:43:24

it to scrape off and

00:43:25

sticks to eat. And I



00:43:27

think the stick would qualify

00:43:28

as a tool and then,

00:43:30

they tried language. Humans are

00:43:32

the only animals that used

00:43:34

languages but then this app

00:43:37

called Washoe or chimpanzee learn

00:43:39

350 signs. They taught her

00:43:42

sign language and she even

00:43:44

created her own language. She

00:43:46

saw swan She hadn't learned

00:43:48

the word for Swan. So

00:43:50

she use the word water

00:43:51

and bird called it a



00:43:53

water bird, which is creating

00:43:56

language. So, I don't know

00:43:57

how we're going to limit

00:43:58

this. How we're going to

00:43:59

a cabin in what artificial

00:44:00

intelligence is, but we start

00:44:02

with cognition, the jams rules,

00:44:04

have a few Provisions, they

00:44:06

put in added protection, these

00:44:09

large language models and systems

00:44:11

like them are, highly confidential,

00:44:13

and trade secrets, and Ro

00:44:16

James recognizes the parties in



00:44:18

using those processes for 1

00:44:20

protections as presumptive protective order

00:44:22

that goes in, and I

00:44:24

should say, all these rules

00:44:25

are presumptive involuntary, we are

00:44:27

roll, my role is to

00:44:28

do, give the parties the

00:44:30

process that they want that.

00:44:31

They contracted for, but these

00:44:34

are suggestions jams, give They

00:44:37

are. I said that you

00:44:38

can be with the sensitivity.

00:44:39

The expert Witnesses are sometimes



00:44:43
about the conference with most

00:44:45
confidential information is expertise, only

00:44:47
not even lawyers eyes. Only

00:44:50
only goes to the experts,

00:44:52
I am in the reports

00:44:53
can be with you but

00:44:54
not the subject matter itself

00:44:56
at the courts are doing

00:44:58
it in different ways as

00:44:59
well. And we see a

00:45:00
full spectrum in my experience.

00:45:01
Most of the course our

00:45:02
silent. They haven't figured it



00:45:04

out yet, they don't know

00:45:05

what it is, they don't

00:45:06

know what they want to

00:45:07

do with it, and I

00:45:07

don't want to get you

00:45:08

far in front of it,

00:45:09

but some courts have been

00:45:11

quite restrictive. There's one in

00:45:13

the southern district of Ohio,

00:45:15

Ohio Judge Newman, prohibits, the

00:45:18

use of artificial intelligence, other

00:45:21

than legal search engines. And

00:45:24

that struck me as quite



00:45:25

restrictive is a legal search

00:45:27

engine. Only Westlaw or Lexus

00:45:29

does that mean you can't

00:45:30

even use Google to write

00:45:32

your briefs. Other courts have

00:45:35

said, you must have disclosed.

00:45:37

Whenever you use an AI

00:45:39

tool for research for drafting

00:45:41

sounds reasonable, does that mean

00:45:43

you need to disclose spell

00:45:45

check on your word? Is

00:45:47

that an artificial intelligence algorithm

00:45:49

only require disclosure of generativity



00:45:52

I or the creates out,

00:45:55

put some disclosure for others,

00:45:58

some, but on others, the

00:45:59

District of Montana requires disclosure

00:46:01

for people, not in Montana

00:46:04

Pro, Hawk Beach. A lawyer's

00:46:06

outside Montana, but they don't

00:46:07

make Montana lawyers, do it

00:46:09

because they trust the people

00:46:11

in Montana and eastern district

00:46:13

of Missouri. Using just your

00:46:15

texts prohibit, Pro Se litigants

00:46:17

from using artificial intelligence but



00:46:19

allow people who passed the

00:46:21

bar, some of these Does

00:46:24

discriminatory on all of them

00:46:26

I think are still working

00:46:27

on figuring out where they

00:46:29

want to be. So we'll

00:46:29

see how it evolved. If

00:46:32

I think will be very

00:46:33

interesting for a members of

00:46:34

the audience that you could

00:46:35

share. Perhaps some, you know,

00:46:37

what type of guidance is

00:46:38

being requested by parties in



00:46:40
the arbitration proceedings, where you

00:46:41
stood as an arbitrator? What

00:46:43
type of guidance has been

00:46:44
requested by parties in relation

00:46:46
to AI. Yeah, I think

00:46:48
it's starting out for parties

00:46:50
to I think parties are

00:46:50
figure out what they want

00:46:51
to do. If it's a

00:46:52
check case, the parties can

00:46:54
be sophisticated in that case,

00:46:55
they have often come up

00:46:56
with their own proposals on



00:46:59

how they're going to do

00:46:59

with confidentiality the jams guidelines.

00:47:02

Give some proposals, but if

00:47:04

they're sophisticated, they know what

00:47:05

they're doing when they come

00:47:06

to me and if they're

00:47:08

not, they often don't even

00:47:09

talk about it. But I

00:47:10

think we're going to see

00:47:10

it more and more. Faith,

00:47:14

we have about 30 minutes

00:47:16

left more or less is

00:47:19

dive into the second segment



00:47:20

of our panel will talk

00:47:22

about the transformative impact of

00:47:23

the ion law firms as

00:47:25

businesses. And so, let's start

00:47:29

with with k. As a

00:47:36

paralegal, right? How do you

00:47:37

see Jenny? I impacting the

00:47:40

workflow within a law firm.

00:47:45

I'm sorry that. That's, that's

00:47:53

a very heavy question because

00:47:55

there's so many ways that

00:47:57

the gym is a. I

00:47:58

can affect I just making



00:48:01

sure I cover a bunch

00:48:04

of different areas where there's

00:48:05

this is because it affects

00:48:07

the workforce and workflow that

00:48:08

work for us. It is

00:48:10

how we work. We are

00:48:16

professionals and How can we

00:48:22

learn about these tools? Are

00:48:24

there upskilling excetera excetera? And

00:48:25

I think most of us

00:48:32

can agree that we're very

00:48:34

slow to adopt everything from

00:48:37

cybersecurity / convenience. A few



00:48:41

of you might remember that

00:48:42

back in a couple years

00:48:44

ago, we had this debate

00:48:45

about the cloud where where

00:48:47

we going to put these

00:48:49

documents, how dangerous is the

00:48:50

cloud, the cloud, the cloud.

00:48:52

And now we have documents

00:48:54

on our on our phones

00:48:55

or social media was very

00:48:59

restrictive. Even today, the things

00:49:02

I drop box box for

00:49:03

somebody that you can't access



00:49:04

a certain FTP site. So

00:49:06

right now the legal industry

00:49:08

is really behind yet we're

00:49:09

talking about this AI. That

00:49:11

access is a lot of

00:49:12

that that technology many firms

00:49:15

decide to pick a cheaper

00:49:19

technology. PCS phone systems even

00:49:23

the video conference room systems

00:49:24

and don't zoom in and

00:49:26

because they're not built for

00:49:27

Zoom or for what it

00:49:29

is today. So it is



00:49:31

the legal industry is really

00:49:32

behind in that area for

00:49:34

many different reasons. But really

00:49:36

another, I think of food

00:49:39

for thought I was. In

00:49:43

a big by Pillsbury in

00:49:45

AI test for us to

00:49:46

join them in a congressional

00:49:47

hearing and the first thing

00:49:49

they said to me at

00:49:50

the at the at the

00:49:52

meeting every time that you

00:49:55

use Chachi BT to ask



00:49:58
a question. You're consuming enough

00:50:01
energy. Power to home. Every

00:50:06
time that's a lot, right?

00:50:08
And that impact in the

00:50:11
enormous from How you use

00:50:15
it to how often you

00:50:17
wanting use it to cement

00:50:20
cost are not on my

00:50:22
own environmental by the actual

00:50:24
value of it. And the

00:50:27
reason is because some people

00:50:29
don't really understand it, but

00:50:30
the reason is if if



00:50:32

you can compare listening to

00:50:34

an MP3 on a tape

00:50:36

deck to a vinyl to

00:50:39

what it is today, the

00:50:40

quality is different audio can

00:50:42

be different if you're ever

00:50:44

watching a video on a

00:50:45

VHF vs. Today, the quality

00:50:49

can be different but you

00:50:49

can still see it data.

00:50:51

Doesn't work that same way.

00:50:53

You can't buffer data because

00:50:56

if you buffer data you



00:50:57

miss something and civilization it

00:51:00

needs to know when a

00:51:01

bee and see if you

00:51:03

skip. Be it's going to

00:51:05

hallucinate something for be to

00:51:06

get you to see. So

00:51:08

that's one of the reasons

00:51:09

why it's so expensive. You

00:51:12

have to have massive servers

00:51:13

just to answer a question.

00:51:15

So that's where you really

00:51:16

difficult. And not understanding that

00:51:18

already puts you heard of



00:51:20

it at a negative. Why

00:51:22

is it listening? What is

00:51:24

the impact? They are. In

00:51:26

fact, there have been, I

00:51:28

can't really go into this

00:51:29

with back with the time,

00:51:30

but it just consumes. A

00:51:32

lot of just a lot

00:51:34

of energy, a lot of

00:51:36

resources and quite frankly, the

00:51:39

players are in the last

00:51:42

inning in this year alone

00:51:43

in the US are about



00:51:45

been about 5,500, new startups

00:51:48

in the AI industry. So

00:51:51

when I was showing you

00:51:52

some screens earlier before about

00:51:55

brainstorming, what other resources and

00:51:57

maybe even Discovery, there's there's

00:51:59

hundreds of them and trying

00:52:01

to decide between one and

00:52:02

the other is a complex

00:52:04

at some point. We're going

00:52:06

to get through this day.

00:52:07

I first or going to

00:52:10

the bubbles in a kind



00:52:11

of like we went through

00:52:11

the website. It's in a

00:52:14

birthday. We're going to have

00:52:14

a limp Feel. So then

00:52:16

we'll be able to start

00:52:18

redefining and giving feedback and

00:52:21

and really focusing on a

00:52:23

few key players or right

00:52:24

now, everyone has their hands

00:52:25

on it and so we're

00:52:26

all trying to figure it

00:52:27

out and they're all providing

00:52:29

hallucination some of the companies



00:52:31
emerging here and there And

00:52:33
really the the change and

00:52:36
I get the answer. Now

00:52:37
this these three questions. Are

00:52:41
you sure he's going to

00:52:42
have to speed up just

00:52:43
to catch up to, to

00:52:45
all the change? There's going

00:52:46
to be. But let's say

00:52:47
from the 5000 ob10 that

00:52:50
we use, that does it

00:52:52
all. Because these days we're

00:52:54
looking for one platform to



00:52:55

to give you an image

00:52:56

from the from another one,

00:53:00

we're pretty music or creating

00:53:02

briefs for doing Discovery. So

00:53:05

many different things we're going

00:53:06

to have smaller players to

00:53:08

be able to do more

00:53:09

of those on the checklist

00:53:10

and Really, it's going to

00:53:15

be. We're going to have

00:53:19

this age where it where

00:53:20

we're going to have to

00:53:21

be less reliant on Junior.



00:53:23

Turning is doing some of

00:53:25

this brainstorming trying to figure

00:53:27

out the forms because I

00:53:28

mean as you had just

00:53:29

shown this this Aflac on

00:53:31

Monday. That's amazing. That's amazing.

00:53:35

That you can do that.

00:53:35

How reliable is the senior

00:53:38

partner going to be on

00:53:40

a first-year right out of

00:53:42

the hospital when I can

00:53:45

probably test this out. So

00:53:47

you're going to end up



00:53:48
having staff paralegals. Maybe, I'm

00:53:52
kind of like today, we

00:53:53
have staff attorney at the

00:53:54
focus on discovery of your

00:53:57
have staff attorneys. The focus

00:53:58
on the AI and developing

00:54:00
and checking the resources. Apparently,

00:54:02
look like myself would be

00:54:04
more specialized in this technology.

00:54:06
Checking the technology knowing what

00:54:07
to look for the structure

00:54:11
of law. Firms will at

00:54:13
some point change. It's happened



00:54:16

in the last 10 years,

00:54:17

we used to have legal

00:54:18

secretaries, who had one per

00:54:19

partner. It's in things have

00:54:21

changed with technology. Now most

00:54:24

attorneys can type for themselves

00:54:26

and I think this is

00:54:31

also going to bring in

00:54:32

a new age of. Now,

00:54:33

you have this opportunity, you

00:54:34

have all this free time.

00:54:38

You could do is three

00:54:40

hours what you used to



00:54:41

do and eat. Well, the

00:54:43

billable hour then doesn't really

00:54:45

make sense because you're, you're

00:54:46

making your client paid for

00:54:48

being less efficient. If you

00:54:52

enter the world of legal

00:54:54

Ops, there's an entire Community

00:54:56

out there, thousand thousand, people

00:54:57

of legal Ops, most of

00:54:59

them will tell you the

00:55:00

billable hour does not make

00:55:02

sense. In fact, I had

00:55:03

a client potential client Issued



00:55:07
company. And they told me

00:55:08
that they give all of

00:55:10
their business to this one

00:55:12
firm because they don't do

00:55:15
the billable hour to just

00:55:16
give him a flat fee.

00:55:17
That include everything from The

00:55:21
cause questions question about the

00:55:24
discovery, everything interests can close

00:55:26
everything and they rather do

00:55:28
it that way. Then having

00:55:30
to go through hours of

00:55:32
reading billable time that people



00:55:35

hate, I don't know how

00:55:36

many people like entering time

00:55:37

to begin with. I am

00:55:39

surprised if this is still,

00:55:40

if it's still a thing.

00:55:41

I mean that are from

00:55:42

were exploring this, this option

00:55:43

of changing or at least

00:55:45

or those companies that want

00:55:47

to do it this way.

00:55:48

So there's a lot that

00:55:50

day I is going to

00:55:51

allow us to do everything



00:55:53

and we've done it before,

00:55:55

I guess we're no longer

00:55:57

accepting fax machine. I don't

00:55:59

know how many people have

00:56:00

fax numbers on their, on

00:56:01

their business card things change.

00:56:04

And a I will allow

00:56:05

us to not just change

00:56:07

brain store, is that allowed

00:56:09

to change. So, so many

00:56:10

different things, and I'm excited

00:56:12

about it, to be honest,

00:56:13

but it's going to be



00:56:14
a huge impact. Do you

00:56:18
share a k u? Well,

00:56:22
I might be a little

00:56:22
naively optimistic, but in terms

00:56:26
of the billable hour to

00:56:28
see some challenges, but I'm

00:56:30
hopeful that with the synthesizing

00:56:32
tools of you. No pay.

00:56:35
I that more Junior lawyers

00:56:38
can use these tools to

00:56:41
you, no, cut down time

00:56:44
that you would normally spend

00:56:45
on something like a huge



00:56:46
document review or you're really

00:56:48
looking at, you know, Non

00:56:52
relevant documents for hours. Or,

00:56:55
you know, you could leverage

00:56:57
that tool to help you

00:56:58
identify potentially the most relevant

00:57:00
documents the hot documents and

00:57:03
then spend more time actually

00:57:04
looking at those relevant documents

00:57:05
because I think a huge

00:57:08
challenges sometimes we get these

00:57:10
large data sets and it

00:57:13
takes so long time of



00:57:13

looking through them to identify

00:57:14

the relevant documents, we really

00:57:16

missed out on the time.

00:57:17

We could be filling in

00:57:18

analyzing these documents more thoroughly

00:57:20

and building our case. So

00:57:23

you know I'm hopeful that

00:57:23

it will eventually even sells

00:57:25

out, you know, the extent

00:57:27

that which will be seen.

00:57:29

But I think it's just

00:57:31

going to take a little

00:57:31

bit of creative thinking on



00:57:33

our end on how we

00:57:34

can sort of Leverage these

00:57:35

tools to be more efficient

00:57:37

in areas that are accessible

00:57:39

and you know an efficient

00:57:40

and sort of focus on

00:57:42

things that really make us

00:57:44

valuable as counsel and so

00:57:47

I mean maybe that's that's

00:57:49

a little naive but that's

00:57:50

kind of what I'm hopeful.

00:57:51

Or I'm with these tools.

00:57:54

we're still very much of



00:57:56

the adoption the stage in

00:57:59

terms of, Implementing a genuine

00:58:03

in law, firms. And then

00:58:05

get yours to hear you

00:58:07

Liz on them, you know,

00:58:09

how is for example, your

00:58:11

firm, evaluating, which tool The

00:58:13

Firm will adopt internally, are

00:58:16

you putting together some sort

00:58:17

of an AI action plan?

00:58:18

In that sense? What are

00:58:21

some of the challenges you

00:58:22

seen professional space? Internally wins



00:58:27

first into creating a itools

00:58:29

into the workflow and also

00:58:31

you know you've mentioned Junior

00:58:33

professionals, how we going to

00:58:35

train them moving forward? Sure.

00:58:39

I think in terms of

00:58:40

evaluating the tools that are

00:58:42

formal Implement, I think it's

00:58:44

been challenging. So I think

00:58:46

I've mentioned that we are

00:58:47

licensing attorneys for Chad e,

00:58:50

p, t, a Tickler and

00:58:51

that's the only tool that



00:58:52

we're currently using and it's

00:58:56

it's just because there are

00:58:58

so many tools out there.

00:58:59

The proliferation of these tools

00:59:01

is immense and different practice

00:59:04

groups are interested in different

00:59:06

tools and I was talking

00:59:07

to our Chief Information officer

00:59:09

and she said every day,

00:59:10

she gets an email from

00:59:11

an attorney wanting to use

00:59:12

a particular tool. And no

00:59:13

we have limited resources and



00:59:15

only so much time. And

00:59:17

and so I think the

00:59:20

challenge is really understanding these

00:59:22

tools understanding their capabilities and

00:59:24

figuring out which tools will

00:59:27

apply to the most lawyers

00:59:29

at the firm and what

00:59:30

will be useful. In terms

00:59:34

of challenges that are fake

00:59:36

that we're facing, I think

00:59:38

it's just using the tools

00:59:39

in the first place. And

00:59:41

Kelly mentioned that were slow



00:59:42

to adopt in the legal

00:59:43

industry and adapts. And I

00:59:44

think one of the tools

00:59:45

is just been getting people

00:59:47

to take the training and

00:59:47

sign up for that. You

00:59:48

don't get a license and

00:59:50

and an in them part

00:59:52

of that kind of goes

00:59:53

hand-in-hand with the training that

00:59:55

you asked about you. I

00:59:57

mean, there is training in

00:59:58

the sense of you need



01:00:00
to understand the risks associated

01:00:02
with tragedy PT, but really

01:00:03
the training is you, do

01:00:05
you do it on your

01:00:06
own? I mean, you just

01:00:06
have to use the tool

01:00:07
understand how you can manipulate

01:00:09
it play around. And I

01:00:11
think, you know, when there's

01:00:13
not a set training courses

01:00:15
to Sara Lee, I think

01:00:15
a lot of attorneys can

01:00:17
find that challenging. So I



01:00:20

think what we've seen is

01:00:21

just people are slow to

01:00:22

adopt that people are slow

01:00:23

to sign up for these

01:00:25

tools that are available. And

01:00:30

I think that's a rare

01:00:32

it's a real opportunity for

01:00:33

junior Associates to kind of

01:00:35

get in early and really

01:00:36

become experts and leveraging these

01:00:38

tools. So I think that's

01:00:41

a summary McKelvey. Any comments

01:00:48

It is. I agree with



01:00:51

me with your points and

01:00:53

it made me think about

01:00:54

it. I still get asked

01:00:56

to help an attorney here

01:00:58

and there to PDF a

01:01:00

document that is so Advanced.

01:01:03

You definitely need to spend

01:01:05

some time and learn the

01:01:07

tools. I think it really

01:01:12

needs to go beyond just

01:01:13

the attorneys because I've been

01:01:16

out the last two from

01:01:17

you. I've been at restricted,



01:01:19

only to attorneys and we

01:01:22

we need to see different

01:01:23

points of views. Not only

01:01:24

with with, with her with

01:01:26

her attorneys it maybe our

01:01:27

experts because there is such

01:01:31

a bias as to what

01:01:32

you say, how you asked

01:01:34

the question to make a

01:01:35

big difference in the result,

01:01:37

you get? And I think

01:01:40

to this day there, many

01:01:41

people who receive an email



01:01:42
and you asked questions wrong.

01:01:45
So, I gave you the

01:01:46
wrong answer or the cancer

01:01:48
that you do. Smart looking

01:01:48
and touchy with you, just

01:01:50
going to take you literally

01:01:52
just exactly the same way.

01:01:53
So you really do need

01:01:54
to invest that time and

01:01:57
in different levels. Just attorneys

01:02:00
or just a second group

01:02:01
and really wants you to

01:02:03
do. I think feedback on



01:02:04

Monday, your colleagues is really

01:02:06

important. Death is a, you

01:02:10

know, we talked about how

01:02:11

long friends will train their

01:02:13

junior Associates as a professor

01:02:14

at the University level? How

01:02:17

are you viewing? The incorporation

01:02:21

of Jenna and into Academy

01:02:22

on for a 2 to

01:02:24

help? The younger generation face

01:02:27

with the upcoming Harvard, BB

01:02:33

many professors are are scared

01:02:35

of it and I need



01:02:37
to get the large law

01:02:38
firms. Where I was parking

01:02:40
for 15 years. People are

01:02:41
are scared of it, some

01:02:43
lawyers and professors are early

01:02:46
adopters, and you talk about

01:02:48
late adopters and early. Some

01:02:50
of them are all over

01:02:51
this, but some of them

01:02:52
are scared of it. And

01:02:54
I know at that should

01:02:57
leave. There were some Partners

01:02:59
who couldn't PDF document and



01:03:03

other said that that were

01:03:05

adapt, I do think that

01:03:08

the law firms are Are

01:03:11

conservative and and cautious by

01:03:15

Nature. Lawyers are cautious, people.

01:03:17

And I think that there's

01:03:21

some profit fears. I know

01:03:25

the law firms used to

01:03:26

make a lot of money

01:03:26

on a discovery sending teams

01:03:30

of Associates to review boxes

01:03:31

of documents. I know a

01:03:33

lot of lot of profit



01:03:34
to be had there and

01:03:36
then they clients figured out

01:03:38
that you could hire outside

01:03:40
counsel outside contract attorneys to

01:03:43
review the documents for half

01:03:45
the price or less and

01:03:47
then they figured out you

01:03:48
can use computers to do

01:03:50
it even quicker, and we're

01:03:51
now seeing the point where

01:03:52
a, I will do junior-level

01:03:54
associate. Ask Chad CPT. As

01:03:58
of earlier this year, can



01:04:00

now pass the bar in

01:04:02

every state in America and

01:04:05

Chachi PT past the l

01:04:06

s a t at the

01:04:07

90th percentile, which may get

01:04:10

them into a t-14 law

01:04:11

school, they're going to start

01:04:13

creeping into things that Junior

01:04:14

Associates could do. I make

01:04:16

Partners recognize that they're going

01:04:18

to creep into the things

01:04:19

that partner so I could

01:04:20

do perhaps not in our



01:04:21
lifetime achievement off and said

01:04:23
there are profit concerns as

01:04:26
well about adopting this. And

01:04:30
just from an arbitrator's perspective,

01:04:32
what role is any? Do

01:04:34
you see Jenny? I make

01:04:35
might take in decision-making processes.

01:04:40
Yeah, I think it's coming.

01:04:41
I do I I remember

01:04:44
when people thought that Jess

01:04:48
would always be a human

01:04:50
activity that the computers and

01:04:52
never be able to crack



01:04:53

it on the way human

01:04:54

beings I can. And infirm

01:04:58

any years they couldn't they

01:05:00

got better to better at

01:05:01

beating players like me but

01:05:03

the Grandmasters what? Always we've

01:05:05

always win and then it

01:05:06

was 1997 when deep blue

01:05:09

from IBM, beat Garry Kasparov

01:05:11

and actually freaked him out

01:05:14

at one point in one

01:05:15

of the game's halfway through.

01:05:16

He was you could see



01:05:19

it in his eyes. He's

01:05:20

like, I don't understand what

01:05:22

their computer just did. I

01:05:23

don't understand that move and

01:05:26

they talked to him afterwards

01:05:27

and he said, I have

01:05:29

no Carpenter that, then it,

01:05:32

it must be much smarter

01:05:34

than I am. In order

01:05:36

to think of that moved,

01:05:37

cuz I can't explain why

01:05:38

I did it. And it

01:05:39

turned out The IBM people



01:05:40
admitted that there was a

01:05:42
glitch in the in the

01:05:44
park around and it was

01:05:45
stuck in an infinite Loop.

01:05:46
So it just moved randomly,

01:05:48
played really badly the next

01:05:53
game because of it but

01:05:54
now you're your phone will

01:05:55
be at the greatest Grandmasters

01:05:57
in the world. I think

01:05:59
it's coming in in arbitration.

01:06:01
In adjudication, I talked about

01:06:06
the Silicon Valley rules. I



01:06:07

think those are interesting and

01:06:08

attempted being specific on the

01:06:11

role that we could restrict

01:06:13

arbitrators to or guide arbitrators

01:06:16

on. They suggest that you

01:06:21

can submit confidential information as

01:06:23

an arbitrator which I think

01:06:25

is obvious goes without saying

01:06:27

they stay will be a

01:06:28

little bit that arbitrators do

01:06:30

not have to disclose when

01:06:32

they use artificial intelligence. And

01:06:34

that was a choice, Silicon



01:06:35

Valley made. I make Others

01:06:37

May want it disclosed professors.

01:06:39

Often I require then my

01:06:42

student they can use it,

01:06:43

I allow him using it

01:06:44

but they have to say

01:06:45

what they used it for

01:06:46

and what outputs they they

01:06:48

got I you're not allowed

01:06:51

as an arbitrator Silicon Valley

01:06:53

rules to rely on AI

01:06:55

generated information, without notifying the

01:06:58

parties and allowing them to



01:07:00
comment on it, which would

01:07:01
be my practice for any

01:07:03
outside research, wishes I said

01:07:04
at the start, I'm reluctant

01:07:05
to do. If I think

01:07:07
it's critical, I will do

01:07:08
it. But then I'm going

01:07:09
to give the parties notice

01:07:11
and opportunity to be heard

01:07:12
on what I found out.

01:07:13
I need the Silicon Valley

01:07:15
rules so you can't use

01:07:16
AI to falsify evidence or



01:07:19
mislead the arbitrator. I would

01:07:21
hope that would go without

01:07:22
saying I was a little

01:07:23
surprised to see that they

01:07:24
thought they needed to be

01:07:26
direct about that. And then

01:07:28
you said, you need to

01:07:29
verify your output because you

01:07:31
are responsible for it, which

01:07:32
I think is all all

01:07:33
so obvious. But that and

01:07:36
it is worth underlining because

01:07:38
people you and your stupid



01:07:40

lawyers getting in trouble for

01:07:42

citing a hallucinated cases that

01:07:44

don't exist. That'll be headed

01:07:46

off. If you make sure

01:07:47

you're you verify that our

01:07:49

bottom line it in these

01:07:50

rules are rules can enhance

01:07:52

efficiency and provide insights, but

01:07:55

the arbitrator must make the

01:07:56

ultimate decision and that I

01:07:59

very much agree with Pink

01:08:03

and we can open up

01:08:04

the floor for questions. We



01:08:08

have maybe time for one

01:08:09

or two questions. Is there

01:08:10

any? Nobody has a question

01:08:12

in the audience or virtually

01:08:14

or VertuoLine Yes, sir. So

01:08:44

my question would be related

01:08:46

to orbital institutions. If you

01:08:48

have any thoughts about the

01:08:49

role that arbitration abitor institutions

01:08:52

should play into maybe or

01:08:55

either died or proactively implementing

01:08:58

certain source and sore. Thank

01:09:03

you so much. Anybody wants



01:09:07
to take this one, I

01:09:08
can dress it at 2.

01:09:10
If you like I just

01:09:10
came back from ICC Miami

01:09:13
and they have announced that

01:09:14
they've just launched an AI

01:09:15
commission to look into these

01:09:18
issues. I know, I see.

01:09:22
She's also looking for your

01:09:23
case management purposes to integrate

01:09:25
a high-potential internally. But yes,

01:09:28
the question is who will

01:09:30
be the leader in terms



01:09:32
of putting together guidance, right?

01:09:35
So is it the arbitration

01:09:36
situation? Is it d? I

01:09:37
v a and with the

01:09:38
idea has its own as

01:09:41
far as we've heard Jeff

01:09:44
on the Silicon Valley, mediation

01:09:46
and arbitration Center that they've

01:09:47
issued by that. Triple A

01:09:48
has a suit guidelines. John's

01:09:49
has issued guidelines. Thankfully, they

01:09:52
all seem to be going

01:09:53
in the same direction but



01:09:55

let's see how it evolved

01:09:57

soon. Would you like to

01:10:01

add anything? Jeff. Are ya?

01:10:03

Sure. I do not have

01:10:04

touched on that, and I

01:10:05

agree with what you said,

01:10:06

any I think that the

01:10:08

the jams has got out

01:10:10

in front of it in

01:10:11

April, but with sort of

01:10:13

a cautious and limited approach

01:10:15

on Silicon Valley, with a

01:10:17

little further, some of the



01:10:18
courts that talk about have

01:10:20
gone. I had too far

01:10:21
and it and there's a

01:10:23
wait-and-see approach. You don't want,

01:10:25
if you want to get

01:10:25
too far out in front

01:10:26
of it. If you ban

01:10:27
it, you've been too much.

01:10:29
If you ban part of

01:10:32
it have you have you

01:10:34
gone too far on that

01:10:35
way. How do you define

01:10:36
it is tricky? You know,



01:10:37

dude, is it you mean

01:10:40

to cover Google or search

01:10:41

engines or scale Checkers, or

01:10:46

just chat CPT and how

01:10:48

do you limit it to

01:10:49

chat? CPT disclosure will be

01:10:52

the first thing requiring that

01:10:53

you that you disclose it

01:10:55

but I wouldn't be in

01:10:56

it at this point. I

01:10:58

would put limits on confer.

01:10:59

Reality which arbitral institutions already

01:11:02

do and I leave it



01:11:05

up to the parties, I

01:11:06

think we're not quite sure

01:11:07

we have with it yet,

01:11:08

so I don't know how

01:11:09

I would draft it. I

01:11:10

think I would think I

01:11:11

would go without what James

01:11:12

did in Silicon Valley did

01:11:16

Any other questions from the

01:11:18

audience? Yes. I think you,

01:11:29

I thought I'd like to

01:11:32

ask a question directed to

01:11:33

all of you which is,



01:11:35

could you gaze into the

01:11:36

crystal ball and tell us

01:11:37

what you would like, Jen

01:11:39

AI or AI to be

01:11:40

doing for you and your

01:11:42

respective roles into the future.

01:11:44

That's not quite doing yet

01:11:47

or the not fully developed

01:11:48

enough to be doing. Who

01:11:54

wants to start? That was

01:12:11

occurring to be is I

01:12:12

was thinking about your answer,

01:12:14

you know, if this reaches



01:12:15
all the way to the

01:12:16
point where it's better, as

01:12:18
I had clients, that should

01:12:20
be, who were coming up

01:12:21
with algorithms, that would diagnose

01:12:24
disease and some of them

01:12:28
thought there to get better

01:12:29
than and doctors. If you

01:12:30
can analyze the entire Human

01:12:33
Genome, and you have data

01:12:35
from her buddy's, Apple watches,

01:12:37
and wearables, and billions of

01:12:42
data points, you might be



01:12:44

able to be better than

01:12:45

oncologist. Yuki find out every

01:12:47

treatment for every genome for

01:12:49

every type of cancer that

01:12:51

has ever happened in the

01:12:52

history of when they started

01:12:54

collecting data. On call, just

01:12:57

can't do that. And perhaps

01:12:58

if you computers get there,

01:13:00

they'll be better than the

01:13:01

doctors if we've been back.

01:13:02

It will be malpractice. Not

01:13:04

to go to church. EBT



01:13:05
to diagnose your cancer and

01:13:08
I can I can conceive

01:13:09
of it conceive of it

01:13:10
in the arbitration world as

01:13:12
well. And I was thinking

01:13:12
about that on the subway

01:13:14
this morning. If you sees

01:13:15
things get good enough, the

01:13:17
fight won't be over. Which

01:13:18
arbitrator you choose? Or do

01:13:20
you go with jams or

01:13:21
some other organization that switch

01:13:23
algorithm? Do you choose which,



01:13:25
which large language model do

01:13:27
you choose the fights will

01:13:29
be over that? I think

01:13:31
it'll be all my children

01:13:33
or grandchildren, generation that fights

01:13:35
the troubles with that, but

01:13:37
I wouldn't be surprised if

01:13:38
it comes. Unless something blows

01:13:39
up miss, something that reasoning

01:13:44
that are the confidence you

01:13:45
out in lawsuits against Jackson.

01:13:47
VP T4 ingesting, copyrighted information

01:13:51
that you perhaps some Court



01:13:52
will decide that the whole

01:13:54
system is not viable. but

01:13:56
short of that, I expect

01:13:57
incremental progress until it, if

01:13:59
gets like the chess computers,

01:14:02
I hope we'll see you

01:14:04
better access to Justice, frankly,

01:14:06
and transparent main part of

01:14:12
our mission to bring more

01:14:14
transparency, accessibility to the law

01:14:16
and power. Google and the

01:14:18
global rule of law, really,

01:14:19
I think, you know, down



01:14:22
the road as, as, you

01:14:23
know, Chad will be able

01:14:27
to complete more complex legal

01:14:28
task. I think, you know,

01:14:30
it'll be very end and

01:14:31
Brad's law. Firms. Will start

01:14:33
adapting. Their business models not

01:14:35
going to billing for the

01:14:36
billable hour but to other

01:14:38
types of business models and

01:14:40
potentially some of the underserved

01:14:42
and types of clients, you

01:14:46
know, consumers or small and



01:14:49

medium-sized businesses, might have better

01:14:52

access to legal representation, thanks

01:14:54

to these types of tools.

01:14:57

I think I would had

01:14:59

three things on that. Wishlist

01:15:01

on my wishlist has been

01:15:02

specially, When I would love

01:15:05

for AI to somehow connect,

01:15:08

this better, I love networking

01:15:11

as much as the next

01:15:12

guy, but trying to beat

01:15:15

100 people. In one week

01:15:17

is very hard. You only



01:15:19

get to meet like a

01:15:20

handful and it's so finding

01:15:21

that those genuine connections that

01:15:23

you're looking for. I think

01:15:25

AI has value. Has a

01:15:27

potential like them, that would

01:15:29

be on my wishlist second

01:15:31

thing. Citations, we grass, Mondays,

01:15:36

blue books, for a Chicago

01:15:37

man. You was in August

01:15:38

stuff. When really many of

01:15:40

us, at least an arbitration,

01:15:41

we submit the document. Like



01:15:42

where are we on the

01:15:44

citation? Everybody has a different

01:15:46

style and we spent a

01:15:47

lot of time with the

01:15:48

blue book is on their

01:15:50

28th wedding something Edition. So

01:15:52

they they still can't figure

01:15:53

it out and the third

01:15:55

is how we write and

01:15:57

we still write very much

01:15:59

like we're still on the

01:16:00

typewriter. I just a very

01:16:02

fancy typewriter. There used to



01:16:04

be several years ago, the

01:16:06

opportunity and ability to create

01:16:10

things on, either an iPad

01:16:11

or something like that, where

01:16:12

you could, as you're talkin,

01:16:14

the terms would pop up

01:16:16

or you're referencing a document,

01:16:18

the document would be embedded

01:16:20

in their over. So very

01:16:21

relying on her paper. So

01:16:22

we go back to typing

01:16:24

on a on a typewriter

01:16:25

and that really limits the



01:16:27
potential of how far we

01:16:29
can go and how much

01:16:32
we can read and how

01:16:33
large is beef need to

01:16:34
be. So I would hope

01:16:35
for AI to somehow help

01:16:37
us revolutionized at that area.

01:16:39
Is you have the final

01:16:41
word I think I would

01:16:46
know Echo what everyone said

01:16:48
and I agree with Kelby,

01:16:51
you know, I wish I

01:16:52
could leverage the AI tools



01:16:55
to help with business development

01:16:57
and somewhere. Maybe I'm just

01:16:58
not creative enough to think

01:16:59
of it but I think

01:17:00
that that's some you know

01:17:02
always a challenge and the

01:17:03
legal profession. So I think

01:17:07
that's something I would focus

01:17:08
on it. I find it

01:17:09
very useful for like my

01:17:10
everyday. Okay, well, thank you

01:17:14
very much. Please join me

01:17:15
in thanking our panelists. And



01:17:22

thank you for joining us

01:17:23

this morning. Botha online and

01:17:24

in-person thank you. Streaker at

01:17:33

a tennis ball, start the

01:17:35

next hour or so. Start

01:17:41

attempt. Well, good morning everyone

00:00:08

and welcome to day 4

00:00:13

of Washington, arbitration week. See

00:00:17

a lot of friendly but

00:00:18

maybe a little tired phases

00:00:20

of the folks and being

00:00:23

at our sessions all week,

00:00:27

and so thank you for



00:00:28

your attendance and thanks to

00:00:31

the virtually online dialing into

00:00:35

this morning session. Let me

00:00:38

introduce myself for those who

00:00:40

may not know me. My

00:00:41

name is Ian Laird. I'm

00:00:43

a partner here at Crowell

00:00:44

& moring, who is the?

00:00:46

We are hosting. This morning's

00:00:49

tests first two sessions of

00:00:51

the day and I'm very

00:00:51

happy that we can do.

00:00:54

So the other hat I'm



00:00:56

wearing is, is as co-chair

00:00:58

of Washington arbitration week, so

00:01:01

I would like to thank

00:01:03

Crowell & moring. Thank You,

00:01:06

Ian. Yes, you're welcome, but

00:01:11

Washington arbitration week is now

00:01:14

in its fifth year. And

00:01:16

I think this week, we've

00:01:18

shown that we only go

00:01:20

from strength to strength in

00:01:21

and are continuing to grow

00:01:23

and develop new programs and

00:01:26

the enthusiasm of the law



00:01:27

firms. And that and the

00:01:29

support organization, the experts at

00:01:32

the institutions as being extraordinary.

00:01:35

So thank you to all

00:01:38

of our sponsors and supporters,

00:01:40

you know, without your efforts

00:01:45

and all of your organizational

00:01:47

skill and and Technical skill

00:01:50

and enthusiasm for international arbitration.

00:01:53

Obviously we couldn't make this

00:01:56

happen. So thank you again,

00:01:58

I can't say that enough.

00:02:00

This morning, we have a



00:02:03

panel, which really it's pretty

00:02:07

much a Cutting Edge issue.

00:02:08

And we always like to

00:02:09

do at least a few

00:02:11

panels on technology related issues

00:02:14

but the panel this morning

00:02:15

is particularly current and I

00:02:20

think we're going to hear

00:02:21

some interesting perspectives from our

00:02:24

panel. The title of our

00:02:26

session is the tech revolution

00:02:29

in international arbitration the impact

00:02:32

and use of a eye



00:02:34

on lawyer lawyer ring and

00:02:36

adjudicated. So very much looking

00:02:38

forward to that. Our moderator

00:02:40

is Annie lesperance. She's the

00:02:43

head of Americas at the

00:02:46

juice Monday which is of

00:02:50

course the well-used certainly by

00:02:54

me and the many others

00:02:55

I think of this room

00:02:57

website of international arbitration materials.

00:03:01

You know, the case law

00:03:02

a collection is fantastic. It's

00:03:05

now developed. Collection of Articles



00:03:09

and treatises of books and

00:03:12

of course it's arbitrator. Dada

00:03:16

is par none and it's

00:03:19

really a pleasure to partner

00:03:20

with just Monday. They made

00:03:24

huge strides. I know the

00:03:26

AI element is something that

00:03:29

they've been very active on

00:03:31

and in the Forefront. And

00:03:32

I think this is great

00:03:34

having any here and she

00:03:36

in particular has had a

00:03:38

wealth of experience in international



00:03:40
arbitration. She's worked for funders

00:03:43
at Bridgeway. She's being the

00:03:46
council working with you for

00:03:48
today and Kevin a fortune

00:03:50
in Montreal and as well

00:03:52
as working at one of

00:03:53
our top institutions be permanent

00:03:56
Court of arbitration as Council

00:03:58
so we are in very

00:04:01
good hands this morning with

00:04:04
an e and I want

00:04:06
to thank Aunt. For her

00:04:07
work and thanked the panel



00:04:09

in advance for agreeing to

00:04:11

come to the session. And

00:04:13

I will pass the microphone

00:04:15

over to any and let's

00:04:18

get going. Thank you. Thank

00:04:21

you very much for this

00:04:23

that introduction and thanks to

00:04:26

a Washington arbitration week organizers

00:04:29

to the entire team attack

00:04:30

strategy for helping with the

00:04:32

logistics of all you and

00:04:36

your firm. Adeline. We are

00:04:46

honored to have with us



00:04:47

at our house panel of

00:04:48

enthusiasts. Each of them bring

00:04:54

their own special perspective to

00:04:58

our topic today. And I

00:05:00

will let each and every

00:05:01

one of them introduce themselves,

00:05:02

starting with the list. Everyone,

00:05:08

my name is Liz. I

00:05:09

I am a senior associate

00:05:11

at Pillsbury, Winthrop Shaw and

00:05:15

I focus on construction. I

00:05:26

am Kelly bellina and specialist

00:05:27

at Hughes Hubbard & Reed.



00:05:29

I've been working as a

00:05:31

paralegal for about 25 years

00:05:32

but died recently in the

00:05:34

last three working with this

00:05:35

is about land and find

00:05:37

development which one of the

00:05:38

things were going to talk

00:05:39

about that. Today the things

00:05:41

from the networking, the building

00:05:43

from profiles and and and

00:05:45

really finding have the money

00:05:47

is made in collaboration as

00:05:48

opposed to competition and I'm



00:05:51

currently on the mediator for

00:05:55

ITA in review on the

00:05:58

communications board there and explore

00:06:00

the area of thinking about

00:06:03

legal and illegal technology. Good

00:06:08

morning. My name is Jeff

00:06:09

singer. I'm an arbitrator and

00:06:11

mediator with jams. Also, on

00:06:14

the panel of the Singapore,

00:06:16

International arbitration Center and CPR

00:06:21

in New York, I was

00:06:23

before joining jams. I was

00:06:24

in international Life, Sciences partner



00:06:26

at sidley, Austin when was

00:06:29

the acting general counsel of

00:06:30

the Food and Drug Administration.

00:06:32

Major life sciences cases. I'm

00:06:34

also a professor at Columbia

00:06:36

and Harvard Law School. Thank

00:06:40

you. So today are panel

00:06:43

will be divided into two

00:06:45

segments. In the first, we

00:06:46

will discuss the various types

00:06:48

of possible use cases for

00:06:51

a i in arbitration like

00:06:52

to give show you some



00:06:53

practical examples of how you

00:06:55

can leverage AI in your

00:06:56

daily practice. We will also

00:06:57

discuss the risks associated with

00:06:59

the use of AI and

00:07:01

emerging guidelines and regulations that

00:07:04

are trying to address and

00:07:05

curtail and these risks. And

00:07:07

in the second segment of

00:07:09

our panel, you will discuss

00:07:11

the transformative impact of a

00:07:13

eye on the legal and

00:07:15

Industry. So let's kick it



00:07:17
off with our first segment

00:07:18
as you've heard, we had

00:07:21
the benefit of having an

00:07:22
arbitrator Council and paralegal and

00:07:25
a representative of an AI

00:07:26
provider on the panel. So

00:07:29
I'd like to do a

00:07:30
little bit of a tool

00:07:31
that he's dumb and ask

00:07:33
each of you to explain

00:07:34
with how you do you

00:07:35
leverage and a I currently

00:07:37
in your daily activity. And



00:07:40

perhaps, let's start with will

00:07:42

go, I will follow the

00:07:44

same order. Let's start with

00:07:45

Liz. Chirp. So in my

00:07:55

practice in particular, no, I

00:07:58

see a lot of benefit

00:07:59

in especially and, you know,

00:08:03

investor-state arbitration. You have these

00:08:05

really long Awards, really long

00:08:06

decisions arbitrators with no storing

00:08:11

careers and so a lot

00:08:12

of decisions piling up. So

00:08:14

I think AI is a



00:08:16

great tool to really streamline

00:08:19

initial research, and Analysis, and

00:08:24

analyzing those larger data sets,

00:08:26

much more quickly and efficiently

00:08:27

than you could do on

00:08:29

your own. So like, for

00:08:33

example, Okay, thank you. I

00:08:46

remember one particular assignment I

00:08:48

had early on when I

00:08:50

was a junior associate and

00:08:51

I was told to look

00:08:52

at all of the preliminary

00:08:54

measures decisions of all the



00:08:55

arbitrators on the panel. and,

00:09:01

That was a big task.

00:09:04

So I thought I would

00:09:05

give you sort of an

00:09:07

example. Of how you can

00:09:10

use our firm in particular,

00:09:12

uses chat. 250, we are

00:09:15

currently licensing people who have

00:09:16

completed training. So this is

00:09:19

an example of how I

00:09:20

would use GPT in my

00:09:22

practice. So, this is a

00:09:24

recent poll preliminary measures decision.



00:09:27

And the RTI case think

00:09:29

it came out like very

00:09:30

recently. And so, you know,

00:09:33

I I I, I almost

00:09:34

talked to Jess GPT like

00:09:35

it's a junior associate. You

00:09:36

really need to give it

00:09:37

a lot of detail so

00:09:39

I gave her a promise.

00:09:40

I said, you're an associate

00:09:41

a large Law Firm. You're

00:09:42

focusing on International arbitration disputes

00:09:44

has been given the task



00:09:45
of analyzing recent decision. A

00:09:48
copy of the session is

00:09:49
attached. So I attached the

00:09:50
document attached to the surgeon.

00:09:52
I asked to create an

00:09:53
outline and a chart. Sometimes,

00:09:55
I create my own chart

00:09:56
and work order PDF and

00:09:57
I'll feed attend, so, it'll

00:09:58
produce, kind of what I'm

00:09:59
exactly what I'm looking for.

00:10:01
And so, and I asked

00:10:03
in particular, to start with



00:10:04
the party's Representatives arguments, the

00:10:06
claimants, the respondents, and then

00:10:07
the Tribunal What pass in

00:10:10
particular they focused on for

00:10:11
the decision on provisional measures.

00:10:12
And so it started with

00:10:14
this outline and it gave

00:10:15
me a really nice summary

00:10:17
that, you know, I would

00:10:18
have started with it would

00:10:20
have taken me time, but

00:10:20
it's really easy for it

00:10:21
to just summer. I pull



00:10:22

these backs out. I know

00:10:25

who the representatives are. It

00:10:27

goes through the key arguments.

00:10:30

So there was the permutation

00:10:32

jurisdiction, and then it said,

00:10:35

okay, well the claimants focused

00:10:36

on necessity of proportionality, I

00:10:38

kind of gave three bullet

00:10:40

points. Then it went through

00:10:42

the respondents arguments, and then

00:10:45

I went to the tribunal's

00:10:46

decision. And it said, what?

00:10:47

Legal test that use the



00:10:49
findings, what they ordered and

00:10:52
I said, okay, that's great.

00:10:52
Here's the summary chart in

00:10:55
case, that was something that

00:10:55
would be helpful to me,

00:10:56
cuz I like to see

00:10:58
things in a couple different

00:10:58
ways. And so, then I

00:11:01
said, can you please identify

00:11:02
the tribunal numbers? Cuz I'm

00:11:03
interested in kind of seeing

00:11:04
how they for their president.

00:11:07
What the hell, they make



00:11:09

decisions that identify the arbitrator's

00:11:11

to great. Can you look

00:11:13

through publicly-available decisions to George's

00:11:16

or Awards on provisional measures?

00:11:17

It should buy these tribunal

00:11:19

members create a list for

00:11:20

me. Which is all things

00:11:22

that I would have had

00:11:23

to do. You know, coming

00:11:24

through the various websites that

00:11:25

have all these publicly-available decisions

00:11:27

and it would have taken

00:11:28

me, you know, probably hours



00:11:30
or as chat. TBT can

00:11:32
really synthesized thought process and

00:11:35
so, okay. Well, it didn't

00:11:36
quite understand my question and

00:11:38
so it really only focused

00:11:39
on the procedural orders in

00:11:41
this case. So I said,

00:11:43
okay thanks, I don't want

00:11:44
that. Can you actually look

00:11:46
at you? No other decisions

00:11:48
in other cases. And so

00:11:50
then it gave me the

00:11:51
list. Of their decisions including



00:11:55

this one in other cases.

00:11:59

And then, you know, the

00:12:01

next step, I think. I

00:12:03

think I said, are there

00:12:05

any decisions in particular that

00:12:07

these tribunal members tend to

00:12:08

rely on? So you kind

00:12:10

of know, like, okay, well,

00:12:11

this is what's interesting to

00:12:12

these particular arbitrators, this is

00:12:13

what they find convincing. And

00:12:16

so, then it created a

00:12:18

list and you can really



00:12:19
go on and on, in

00:12:20
this vein, specifying different Criterion,

00:12:23
that you're interested in. I

00:12:28
find that helpful in particular,

00:12:30
when you're dealing with a

00:12:30
really, really large dataset to

00:12:32
initially sift through everything and

00:12:35
kind of get a really

00:12:36
nice Quick List. And then

00:12:38
I think, you know, our

00:12:40
task has council is to

00:12:43
you know, then go through

00:12:45
and read the particular decision



00:12:46
that we find interesting. Make

00:12:48
sure that chat to PT

00:12:49
isn't hallucinating. Some case that

00:12:51
doesn't exist, make sure these

00:12:52
things exist and then that

00:12:54
just really helps to kick-start

00:12:55
your research and focus on

00:12:57
particular things. So, I mean,

00:13:00
a to, I find that

00:13:01
that's a great value out

00:13:02
of cat. Cotijas, just starting

00:13:05
your initial research and I

00:13:12
think no I think I



00:13:13

think and I think what

00:13:19

this shows is really useful

00:13:21

and impactful and East sides

00:13:25

are two doors are very

00:13:26

much that allow you to

00:13:27

save a lot of time

00:13:28

in order to do some

00:13:30

summarization tasks, as you've pointed

00:13:33

out to Liz. These are

00:13:35

great tools for that spits

00:13:36

Kelby, how do you use

00:13:39

AI in your daily practice?

00:13:43

So luckily I have the



00:13:47

luxury of being able to

00:13:49

test and beta test different

00:13:51

types of models, just for

00:13:53

the idea of my job

00:13:54

is to help the attorney,

00:13:55

do what they do best

00:13:56

if there's many ways of

00:13:58

doing that because there's many

00:13:59

different fields between international relations,

00:14:01

is all so many different

00:14:02

fields from commercial investment by,

00:14:04

you know, that that said

00:14:08

there are brainstorming tools out



00:14:12

there and one of them

00:14:13

was a tragedy PT and

00:14:15

we I will, I usually

00:14:17

develop it. But I've come

00:14:18

from firms where it's been

00:14:20

restricted? So find the benefit

00:14:22

is sometimes a little difficult.

00:14:24

So you have to swear

00:14:25

to explore and look at

00:14:26

what what other AI tools

00:14:28

are out there to find

00:14:29

that brainstorming component. But the

00:14:33

other uses the things like



00:14:36

legal research, writing being able

00:14:39

to find her so many

00:14:40

platforms out there that can

00:14:42

help you with these These

00:14:44

past, and they're all out

00:14:46

there including gods or Monday.

00:14:48

And not only that the

00:14:52

world has really changed as

00:14:54

to how we used to

00:14:56

be really profile ourselves in

00:14:58

in law firm. We are

00:15:00

also thinking about audio thinking

00:15:03

about video, what we're proposing



00:15:04
a LinkedIn how we're presenting

00:15:06
you, and I were on

00:15:07
on Zoom, doing hybrid, hearing

00:15:10
things like that. So are

00:15:11
you going to be? Your

00:15:12
are very important. How do

00:15:13
you go ahead and practice

00:15:15
your opening statement? For example.

00:15:17
So when they either, I

00:15:19
would say I would Leverage

00:15:21
is the script go ahead

00:15:23
and do your entire opening

00:15:26
and see what the AI



00:15:27
will tell you a little

00:15:28
Sable hate. You are repeating

00:15:30
a lot of words or

00:15:31
you're breaking down filler words

00:15:34
every now and then. So

00:15:36
it's something like this is

00:15:37
is is an example of

00:15:39
what you might see. What

00:15:41
do you say? I will

00:15:41
help you with. I actually

00:15:43
use this for a podcast

00:15:44
on podcast at the store.

00:15:48
And any time we finish



00:15:50

an interview, I'll go through

00:15:51

this and see how well

00:15:52

are the repetitive things that

00:15:54

we can serve cut to

00:15:55

it? That the interview sound

00:15:57

a little bit tighter presentation

00:16:05

you can quickly add video

00:16:06

here and there add caption

00:16:08

all that is really cute.

00:16:10

He's days things like teaching

00:16:12

positions as professors and counter

00:16:20

counter management project management. How

00:16:23

many people have difficulties with



00:16:24
their calendar? Finding that pocket

00:16:26
of time? I'm can I

00:16:27
go up to somebody right

00:16:28
now? And when are you

00:16:29
available for coffee? Do you

00:16:30
have to spend a lot

00:16:31
of time trying to figure

00:16:32
out when your next availability

00:16:34
is you lose that client

00:16:36
opportunity. If you say I

00:16:38
have to get back to

00:16:39
you. So there are a

00:16:40
lot of Are there a?



00:16:41

I fly out there and

00:16:43

I just encourage you, if

00:16:44

we don't have enough time

00:16:45

but I just encourage you

00:16:45

to kind of do your

00:16:46

research and the big one

00:16:48

is the one that we

00:16:50

always forget, is Hardware AI

00:16:53

in Hardware is crucial, everything

00:16:55

from will the webcams to

00:16:57

the cameras that we're using

00:16:58

now? Do they follow you

00:17:00

to the zoo man? Are



00:17:02
they available for Hybrid models?

00:17:03
You use them for Discovery,

00:17:06
is the person who is

00:17:09
looking at the document on

00:17:10
your computer, I'm the person

00:17:11
that should be looking. What

00:17:14
happens if I looked over

00:17:15
her shoulder, will they block

00:17:16
my view of a lot

00:17:18
of times that answer is?

00:17:19
No, because we're not using

00:17:21
the right technology, but that

00:17:22
would be really important for



00:17:23

Discovery. One of the topics

00:17:25

I did come up and

00:17:26

other panelists was the usage

00:17:27

of drums, and drones can

00:17:30

be an entire two-hour panel.

00:17:32

Because we can talk about

00:17:34

how we are using that

00:17:35

and commercial in arbitration and

00:17:36

to prevent issues. They can

00:17:40

go from infrared scanning of

00:17:42

solar panels, mining pipes, gas

00:17:45

forming. I don't know if

00:17:48

anybody anybody out there of



00:17:49
has clients that unit agricultural

00:17:52
area in the mining sector.

00:17:54
In the seabed mining sector,

00:17:56
they're all out there and

00:17:58
they all have their usage

00:17:59
and unfortunately can't get into

00:18:00
the details here, but also

00:18:03
being able to count stockpiles

00:18:05
instantly monitor construction. How you

00:18:09
spell, would this be that

00:18:10
a wise to not only

00:18:12
help in a present, an

00:18:14
actual arbitration, but to prevent



00:18:16

an arbitration. So I think

00:18:21

the metaverse being able to

00:18:23

control a drone from home,

00:18:25

a million miles of the

00:18:28

thousand miles away, and now

00:18:30

it's being integrated into robotics.

00:18:32

And that's an entire Topic

00:18:34

at itself. So AI has

00:18:36

a lot of benefits that

00:18:38

many of us are just

00:18:39

not getting into and we're

00:18:42

very we're still at that

00:18:44

that you could see my



00:18:44
face by wheeler that many

00:18:46
of our clients are already

00:18:47
way ahead of us. Shelby.

00:18:53
There's so many tools out

00:18:55
there and for those of

00:18:56
you who are trying to

00:18:59
navigate these types of tools,

00:19:00
there's a fantastic websites. Maybe

00:19:03
I can share it on

00:19:03
the screen and quickly. Legal

00:19:14
technology. Hub.com And that website

00:19:20
lists thousands and thousands of

00:19:22
AI tools out there for



00:19:24
different types, of practice area,

00:19:26
and the law and four

00:19:29
different types of use cases.

00:19:30
So here, for example, this

00:19:32
is the landing page, you

00:19:33
could type up for you

00:19:34
then, bro. I was rationed

00:19:35
and you would see a

00:19:36
number of tools. Wendy's in

00:19:43
this face. Then you can

00:19:45
click on them and see

00:19:46
what they offer. The type

00:19:48
of juice cases you can



00:19:49

use me to ask so

00:19:50

it's a nice way to

00:19:51

navigate the complexity of what's

00:19:54

being offered out there. How

00:19:58

do you use a AI

00:20:00

as an arbitrator? Do you

00:20:02

use it? If I don't,

00:20:03

I will All right, so

00:20:13

I am, I use arbitrator

00:20:16

about four different capacities, different

00:20:18

things to do, and I'll

00:20:19

close with as an arbitrator.

00:20:21

But as a professor, I



00:20:22

have used it probably daily

00:20:26

and one of the ways

00:20:28

in fact is to draft

00:20:29

quiz questions or exam questions

00:20:32

at the end of the

00:20:32

semester. And I am concerned

00:20:35

that the students are going

00:20:36

to use AI to answer

00:20:38

the question. So yesterday I

00:20:41

asked perplexity which is one

00:20:43

of the sites, I like

00:20:45

to use it how to

00:20:46

draft final exam questions that



00:20:48

the students could not use

00:20:49

a I effectively to answer

00:20:51

and it had is a

00:20:53

very useful and detailed response

00:20:56

for me. Suggesting context-dependent questions

00:20:59

as we discussed in class,

00:21:00

give your personal experiences things

00:21:04

that I would not be

00:21:05

able to answer. You know

00:21:06

today has not as good

00:21:07

as that abstract thinking as

00:21:10

it is with con. Specific

00:21:14

thinking concrete thinking I have



00:21:17

a draft draft slides for

00:21:18

me images, if you want

00:21:22

to say when this will

00:21:23

happen, when pigs fly, it'll

00:21:25

give you an image of

00:21:26

pigs. Flying that you can

00:21:27

put up on a PowerPoint

00:21:28

and the students sell like

00:21:30

that. As counsel at sidley,

00:21:32

I used quite a bit

00:21:35

large for document review and

00:21:38

I left Sibley and join

00:21:39

jams of afford. It really



00:21:41

kicked in, but I think

00:21:42

those cancel now I'd be

00:21:43

using it all the time.

00:21:44

The idea that you can

00:21:45

take thousands of pages of

00:21:47

depositions and therein lies, the

00:21:50

instantly several seconds, and it'll

00:21:53

go through all of them.

00:21:54

And I would ask you

00:21:55

to identify him. Consistencies between

00:21:57

different parties to suggest areas

00:22:00

for further development for further

00:22:06

examination, suggests questions for deposition



00:22:09

questions for cross examination. It's

00:22:12

a profound tool that it

00:22:14

will dramatically change the arbitration

00:22:16

practice as a mediator, I

00:22:18

don't use it that much

00:22:20

a neutral. I don't use

00:22:21

it that much, but I'll

00:22:22

use it for factual things

00:22:23

as an arbitrator particular, I

00:22:25

am hesitant to use it

00:22:27

for multiple reasons. The confidentiality

00:22:30

is, probably the first. I

00:22:32

don't think I can enter



00:22:33

into a large language model

00:22:37

database. Any of the materials

00:22:39

that the parties have given

00:22:41

me because I don't know

00:22:42

where it goes, Its it

00:22:43

goes somewhere it's stored somewhere.

00:22:45

It may or may not

00:22:46

become part of the large

00:22:48

language model that could be

00:22:49

publicly revealed in various contacts,

00:22:52

you can buy where you

00:22:53

can purchase a separate folder

00:22:57

where your material May. I'll



00:23:00

let you lie. Be kept

00:23:01

confidential but I'm not quite

00:23:03

comfortable doing that with the

00:23:04

materials. The party sent me,

00:23:06

you can use it to

00:23:07

help analyze cases. But as

00:23:09

an arbitrator, I'm not yet

00:23:11

comfortable with that because I

00:23:12

think the parties pay me

00:23:13

for my judgment and my

00:23:15

experience, not the Judgment experience

00:23:18

of God. She be t

00:23:19

or Gemini and so I



00:23:23

have not been using it

00:23:24

a whole lot final things

00:23:26

you can use it for

00:23:27

research as an arbitrator but

00:23:29

I don't like to do

00:23:30

a lot of outside research

00:23:32

time in the school that

00:23:33

the parties will tell me

00:23:34

what it is. They want

00:23:35

me to base the decision

00:23:36

on and don't want me

00:23:37

doing a lot of poking

00:23:38

around in other areas. So



00:23:41
as yet, it is not

00:23:42
revolutionize. My work as an

00:23:44
arbitrator but a thousand other

00:23:45
fields. Jeff. Have you mentioned,

00:23:54
you say, I so I

00:23:56
thought maybe I will show

00:23:58
you a bit of what

00:23:58
we've developed at at least

00:23:59
windy. Here we go. So

00:24:18
for those of you who

00:24:18
don't know, you so many

00:24:20
that well we've then we

00:24:22
build the most comprehensive database



00:24:23
for primary sources and international

00:24:25
arbitration. And this is thanks

00:24:27
to our Partnerships with more

00:24:28
than 80 arbitration institutions and

00:24:30
associations worldwide. The data we've

00:24:33
collected include yes. Available from

00:24:35
publicly available sources as they

00:24:37
relate to arbitration including National

00:24:39
Court decisions on enforcement and

00:24:41
setting us. But we also

00:24:44
collect exclusive data coming from

00:24:45
our our partners that are

00:24:47
not necessarily available elsewhere. So



00:24:52
with you know the whole

00:24:53
game in related to Jenny

00:24:56
I is a data game.

00:24:57
You wanted to have the

00:24:58
best day. To be able

00:24:58
to provide the best output

00:25:00
there, two types of players,

00:25:02
I would say, in the

00:25:03
market, you have the me,

00:25:04
no legal tech companies that

00:25:06
provide external legal data, so

00:25:10
that users can actually do

00:25:13
legal research, true, that data.



00:25:14

But you also have then

00:25:17

the other Players that only

00:25:18

deal with Noah law, firms,

00:25:20

own proprietary data, and the

00:25:22

Harvey's are these types of

00:25:23

tools? Are you upload your

00:25:25

documents to the tool? And

00:25:26

now it is happening. Is

00:25:27

the traditional providers that have,

00:25:29

these know, this external legal

00:25:31

data or adding a layer

00:25:33

of Jenny iontach, this is

00:25:34

what we have done now



00:25:35

that you see, Wendy, but

00:25:36

we've also allowed our users

00:25:39

to interact with their own

00:25:41

documents to go as a

00:25:42

user of you see how

00:25:44

you can either interact only

00:25:45

with the entirety of our

00:25:46

database for legal research, or

00:25:49

you can upload documents to

00:25:50

the, to land in track

00:25:51

with those documents do summarization,

00:25:52

I'm drafting excetera or interact

00:25:56

with both. And that is



00:25:57
the added value that. I

00:25:59
think we bring to the

00:26:00
table, at least, in this

00:26:01
Niche area, which is international

00:26:02
arbitration. ISO. I'll take you

00:26:07
to the platform a little

00:26:08
bit. This is the landing

00:26:12
page, you see you say

00:26:13
I hear the top, you

00:26:14
have some suggestions as to

00:26:16
how you can use the

00:26:18
tool and then you have

00:26:20
here. The search bar where



00:26:22

you can type up your

00:26:23

request we have our prom

00:26:24

guides which provides you with

00:26:27

fantastic tips as to how

00:26:29

to maximize the value of

00:26:31

these tools? I going good

00:26:32

prompting. And so listen to

00:26:34

the tips include, you know,

00:26:36

you saw some of the

00:26:37

prom setlist made you given

00:26:40

context being clear and specific

00:26:43

breaking down complex problems. If

00:26:47

you're not happy with an



00:26:48

answer continue, dive into the

00:26:50

tool continue asking questions, expand

00:26:53

and and all of that.

00:26:55

So sure, I'm going to

00:26:56

ask at legal research. Question,

00:26:59

how can you use an

00:27:02

MSN Claus to avoid a

00:27:03

fork in the road provision,

00:27:05

please provide cuz I'm being

00:27:06

polite with the tool. Please

00:27:07

provide PreSonus. Orewards. That's a

00:27:10

For this point. And so

00:27:12

now the tool is searching



00:27:13
the entirety of your smoothies

00:27:15
database to the exclusion of

00:27:17
the World Wide. Web was

00:27:18
not like a g p

00:27:19
t but also takes information

00:27:21
coming from the World Wide

00:27:21
Web. This is only limited

00:27:23
to use Wendy's data and

00:27:25
this is how we mitigate

00:27:27
against the risk of hallucinations

00:27:29
because you'll see for every

00:27:30
statement made by the tool.

00:27:32
There is a footnote and



00:27:35

the footnote refers to a

00:27:36

document that exists on your

00:27:38

Swinney that you can go

00:27:39

and double check. Macy. You

00:27:44

get a response in in

00:27:46

in s, it's not necessarily

00:27:48

a perfect answer but it

00:27:49

is a master that you

00:27:51

get quite quickly, it gets

00:27:52

you a quick ideas and

00:27:55

you have begun a table

00:27:57

explaining, some of the, the

00:27:58

case law and or Awards,



00:28:01

or even Publications that are

00:28:03

on, you Celine Dion on

00:28:04

this point. Can you have

00:28:11

all of the sources you

00:28:13

can click on them to

00:28:15

verify that that the source

00:28:19

is accurate? And so here

00:28:22

you would quickly say on

00:28:23

this first one, it would

00:28:24

take you to the actual

00:28:25

paragraph. Paragraph 3:15 to takes

00:28:28

you to the doctor that

00:28:29

exists on your screen and



00:28:30

takes you straight to, to

00:28:31

the paragraph for the section,

00:28:33

where the information was taken

00:28:34

from. I will show you

00:28:39

it another example. I'm going

00:28:43

to start a new conversation

00:28:44

with the tool. And I'm

00:28:48

going to upload a document.

00:28:48

Now I'm actually going to

00:28:50

have pre uploaded some documents

00:28:51

here to the tool. So

00:28:52

I'm going to upload the

00:28:54

visiting problem, as last year.



00:28:55

And the claimants Memorial this

00:28:57

was a case under the

00:28:58

ICC rules and now I'm

00:29:01

only attracted with those two

00:29:02

documents that have been uploaded

00:29:03

in a safe and confidential

00:29:05

obviously manner, we are not

00:29:06

interested in retaining and he

00:29:07

talked to you in so

00:29:09

those are automatically deleted after

00:29:10

15 days and I'm going

00:29:12

to ask a simple question,

00:29:13

summarization question summarize the factual



00:29:16

background and listen detail, the

00:29:18

claims reporting the arguments made

00:29:19

by claimant. Can you get

00:29:22

a summary in matters of

00:29:23

seconds again with footnotes referring

00:29:26

exactly to where the information

00:29:27

was taken from in those

00:29:29

two documents you seen. Those

00:29:31

were two PDF documents as

00:29:32

well. That were uploaded. And

00:29:40

again, only I'm only tracking

00:29:42

with you, summon the SRT

00:29:43

that those two documents. Now,



00:29:45

I'm Council for respondent, I

00:29:48

am tasked with developing some

00:29:49

counter-arguments and some of these

00:29:50

claims, I want to only

00:29:53

because it's better to break

00:29:55

down to prom. So I

00:29:57

only want to understand the

00:29:59

test to authorize the addition

00:30:01

of a new claim, which

00:30:02

is one of the claims

00:30:03

need to be made by

00:30:04

claiming here. So I'm asking

00:30:06

the tool, can you outline



00:30:07
the conditions under which new

00:30:08
claims may be introduced in

00:30:09
the nicest arbitration and you

00:30:11
saw I clicked on the

00:30:12
toggle use Wendy data. So

00:30:14
that now I'm interacting with

00:30:16
both the documents that I've

00:30:18
uploaded, and also use Mondays

00:30:20
database, which is relevant and

00:30:21
useful, in terms of a

00:30:22
legal research, question to search,

00:30:24
other sources of data, 3C

00:30:31
the sources coming from use



00:30:33

Wendy's database and the documents

00:30:36

themselves? And now I'm Council

00:30:39

for his phone, and I

00:30:39

want to dress my account

00:30:40

to Memorial. So I can

00:30:41

ask the tool to draft

00:30:43

and this and that style

00:30:44

Drive account. For memorial on

00:30:46

this precise point. I'm incorporating,

00:30:49

the relevant, facts and legal

00:30:51

arguments to demonstrate. Why the

00:30:52

addition of a new claim

00:30:53

should be rejected Call saying



00:31:02

it to, mr. Lee, a

00:31:03

perfect job but you get

00:31:04

a dropped and seconds. The

00:31:07

first one at least and

00:31:08

then you can copy paste

00:31:09

it to a Word document

00:31:10

and continue working on it.

00:31:17

So, with interest of time

00:31:19

I'll meet you there, the

00:31:19

third example, I wanted to

00:31:21

show you this is to

00:31:22

show the multilingual capabilities as

00:31:24

well. If it to like



00:31:25

this especially in a context

00:31:26

into an international arbitration, very

00:31:28

important to be able to

00:31:29

work across languages to hear

00:31:32

what I'm going to do

00:31:32

is I'm going to upload

00:31:33

the ruta's de Lima transcript.

00:31:36

This is a 310 page

00:31:38

transcript in Spanish. And I'm

00:31:44

going to ask the tool

00:31:44

to summarize. The testimony of

00:31:46

I said a large was

00:31:47

one of the Witnesses. In



00:31:49
the case, I see one

00:31:50
of the expert is in

00:31:51
the room in this case.

00:31:54
So I think, you know,

00:31:56
this summer is a b

00:31:57
shorts, so I'm going to

00:31:59
ask the tool to expand

00:32:00
on it. I mean, this

00:32:01
was a multi-day hearing, so

00:32:04
afford a liner, is a

00:32:05
b, a r b store.

00:32:06
So I'm going to ask

00:32:07
to go to expand again



00:32:08

with the answers. You can

00:32:09

continue with the tool. So

00:32:13

now you have a more

00:32:15

detailed summary of his testimony

00:32:18

against taking from a document

00:32:20

in Spanish and then translate

00:32:21

it in English. Now, we

00:32:24

can I have the tool

00:32:24

to identify any weaknesses or

00:32:26

contradictions and his testimony. This

00:32:29

is very useful. When you're

00:32:31

dropping a poster in Greece

00:32:32

to double-check, whether there any



00:32:33

angle, you may have missed

00:32:34

during this year in Jensen

00:32:40

and 2nd. and then, You

00:32:49

can also ask the tool

00:32:50

to draft cross-examination questions or

00:32:53

a foot of the Willard,

00:32:54

on the basis of these

00:32:55

weaknesses and contradictions. These are

00:33:03

a bit broad question. Can

00:33:04

you explain can you clarify

00:33:06

to Broad question? So then

00:33:08

you can continue interacting with

00:33:09

the tool and as a



00:33:11

tool can you read draft

00:33:12

these questions but in a

00:33:14

leading Way. And with a

00:33:20

passive aggressive, aggressive tone. Isn't

00:33:28

it true mr. Ballards and

00:33:34

then you can ask until

00:33:35

to translate all of this

00:33:36

in Spanish. So, I think,

00:33:41

you know, as we've discussed

00:33:43

a little bit, I think

00:33:44

with the examples that we

00:33:45

show this morning, and these

00:33:46

types of tools are fantastic



00:33:47

in terms of no, summarization

00:33:48

translation for everything that is

00:33:52

related to legal research as

00:33:54

well. Whenever you looking at

00:33:56

drafting, they're not meant to

00:33:59

replace lawyers, are the associate,

00:34:03

our partners on the case

00:34:04

are really there to get

00:34:05

you to that first draft

00:34:06

much more quickly and then

00:34:08

to continue working on it

00:34:09

and also to it's like

00:34:10

a sparring partner to help



00:34:11

you in that creative iteration

00:34:12

process of coming up with

00:34:14

the arguments and making sure

00:34:17

that, you know, you haven't

00:34:18

forgotten any blind spots potentially

00:34:20

With this being said, you

00:34:22

know, there are certain risks

00:34:23

associated with these types of

00:34:25

tools. And perhaps we should

00:34:28

do a little bit into

00:34:29

a discussions as to what

00:34:30

types of risks. Do they

00:34:33

present? And how can we



00:34:34
mitigate against? So stressed. And

00:34:35
so maybe I'll ask a

00:34:36
list to start with that.

00:34:38
Sure. So I see the

00:34:40
first arrest and I alluded

00:34:42
to this earlier. As hallucinations

00:34:43
sometimes chat to PT will

00:34:46
just make stuff up, like,

00:34:47
sites of cases, that doesn't

00:34:48
exist. If we've all seen

00:34:50
the legal news, lawyers who've

00:34:52
gotten sanctioned for just turning

00:34:54
in briefs that site cases



00:34:56
that don't exist. So you

00:34:58
have to really make sure

00:35:00
that you were checking the

00:35:01
veracity of what chat to

00:35:03
beauty or whatever truly are

00:35:04
using is coming up. Another

00:35:07
one is biases and the

00:35:09
data set. So all these

00:35:12
tools learn from the data

00:35:13
that's being fed into them

00:35:14
and these tools are sorry.

00:35:18
The data itself may have

00:35:19
biases in it so you



00:35:22

just need to keep that

00:35:22

in mind when you're adopting

00:35:24

you know some of the

00:35:25

reasoning or the announcer says

00:35:27

that the tools are spitting

00:35:29

out for you and be

00:35:30

mindful. That it it may

00:35:32

exclude some important data. And

00:35:35

then I think, you know,

00:35:36

a big challenge is just

00:35:38

difficulty with very complex tasks

00:35:42

and creativity when Annie was

00:35:45

going through her prompts. Breaking



00:35:49
things down into concrete questions,

00:35:51
not eating it too much

00:35:53
at once. It gets kind

00:35:54
of overloaded and garbled sometimes.

00:35:57
And I think with the

00:35:59
specific example of drafting, you

00:36:02
know, an initial brief, I

00:36:04
think it's really useful for

00:36:06
an initial preliminary, drafted goes

00:36:08
for the obvious you no

00:36:10
arguments and it just really

00:36:13
seems to miss sort of

00:36:15
those creative nuanced persuasive arguments



00:36:19
and writing that really makes

00:36:21
legal writing interesting. So I

00:36:25
think you would want to

00:36:26
avoid sort of using whatever

00:36:28
the tool gives you as

00:36:30
your final product. That's obvious.

00:36:32
But then really thinks you

00:36:34
have to do your own

00:36:35
really complex, high-level thinking and

00:36:37
what actually would persuade you

00:36:39
know, an arbitrator or A

00:36:42
particular arbitrator or you know

00:36:43
what? Where should this sentence



00:36:45

Go versus this sentence? I

00:36:46

think that's really lost on

00:36:48

the tools. And that's a

00:36:49

big limitation and just your

00:36:52

own work products that I

00:36:54

see. So that's that's, that's

00:36:56

a summary of kind of

00:36:58

the three big issues and

00:37:00

risks that I see. And

00:37:02

then in terms of mitigation

00:37:03

strategies, sorry, I was just

00:37:04

a brief training to the

00:37:06

extent, they're our training and



00:37:07

just being aware of these

00:37:09

could be some fees. Rest,

00:37:14

there are limitations here and

00:37:22

I think, I think about

00:37:23

how the ai's built, I

00:37:27

don't know how many people

00:37:28

here, might have a different

00:37:29

default, then, Google whatever is

00:37:31

out there is what the

00:37:33

AI is picking up, whether

00:37:34

it's right or wrong and

00:37:35

I've been on Google many

00:37:37

times and found something before,



00:37:38

you know, this AI Revolution

00:37:40

and I found some That

00:37:41

was wrong but it's out

00:37:43

there. Anybody could just write

00:37:45

a website and put out

00:37:46

information, Wiki is always with

00:37:50

media is is always kind

00:37:54

of correct and make sure

00:37:55

that they can verify their

00:37:56

sources yet. The AI is

00:37:57

driving from there. So the

00:38:00

hallucinations makes sense because this

00:38:01

is grabbing in and out



00:38:03
of different. Locations is not

00:38:05
determined whether it's right or

00:38:06
wrong for the quality is

00:38:07
up to us. And it

00:38:09
it really we also have

00:38:11
to think about the what's

00:38:12
the missing because everything that

00:38:14
the public may not be

00:38:17
available at all times. And

00:38:18
how many of us have

00:38:19
gone to a website and

00:38:21
an article that we're looking

00:38:22
for no longer exists because



00:38:23

it might be older made

00:38:24

them pulled. So the AI

00:38:26

is going to be missing

00:38:28

things. It's only going to

00:38:29

know what it knows except

00:38:30

you don't know what it

00:38:32

knows. So you really have

00:38:33

to Not just play around

00:38:36

with it but get to

00:38:37

know it yet. Intimate with

00:38:38

the the platform. Ask a

00:38:40

questions and get to know

00:38:43

what it is that you're,



00:38:45
you're seeking a starting at

00:38:46
a basic level and going

00:38:48
from there. And I think

00:38:49
that's a difficulty is the

00:38:50
amount of time that it

00:38:51
does take to get to

00:38:53
a level where you can

00:38:54
feel comfortable with it. Because

00:38:55
if you need to determine,

00:38:58
I guess where the mistakes

00:39:00
are whether you use Joshua

00:39:01
tea or some other software,

00:39:03
you really have to get



00:39:04

familiar with it. But I

00:39:06

think sometime Yeah, I think

00:39:10

the the flaws and I

00:39:13

are profound and fascinating but

00:39:19

also exist in the human

00:39:20

world. I mean there was

00:39:22

started by the AI makes

00:39:24

mistakes and has biases but

00:39:26

so do all of us

00:39:27

should we had good Associates

00:39:29

but they got things wrong

00:39:30

and they brought their own

00:39:32

personal biases in as well.



00:39:33

So AI doesn't have to

00:39:34

be perfect to be useful.

00:39:37

Or would you even to

00:39:38

be better than they are

00:39:39

the current world but there

00:39:41

is something creepy about the

00:39:43

hallucinations. I don't know how

00:39:45

many of you poke around

00:39:46

in a I but it,

00:39:47

it, it, it will Gaslight

00:39:50

you if it comes right

00:39:51

out with something, as if

00:39:52

it is God's truth is



00:39:55
the same way and it'll

00:39:56
be the right or wrong

00:39:56
and much of the time

00:39:58
is, right? And sometimes it's

00:39:59
creepy, how, how, right it

00:40:01
is. But it'll put the

00:40:03
wrong answer answer, just as

00:40:04
confidently and straightforwardly indirectly. It's

00:40:07
the right one, so you

00:40:09
have to double-check everything 12.

00:40:13
All I found helpful is

00:40:14
an app called chat.hub, which

00:40:19
will aggregate multiple sources on



00:40:26

one screen. So, if I

00:40:27

just want a factual question,

00:40:29

when did FDA regulate cosmetic

00:40:33

eyelash? Enhancer was when I

00:40:36

had recently for a mediation,

00:40:37

and I wanted a quick

00:40:39

answer and chat up. We'll

00:40:41

pull up perplexity and llama,

00:40:43

and Gemini, and Chachi PT

00:40:45

on one screen. And if

00:40:47

all four of them agree,

00:40:48

I have more confidence that

00:40:50

is right than if just



00:40:51

one of them does. Jess.

00:40:55

And I think it's as

00:40:57

lawyers know we have our

00:40:58

ethical Duty and responsibility to

00:41:01

verify the word that the

00:41:03

attorney work product that we

00:41:05

put down to two clients.

00:41:06

But are those rules enough

00:41:09

in this context, or do

00:41:11

we need more something different?

00:41:13

What are, what is the

00:41:14

emerging legal framework around you?

00:41:16

No mitigating against these there



00:41:18

is no AI present. Jeff,

00:41:21

could you dress up? Yes.

00:41:23

There is an emerging legal

00:41:25

Frameworks. Exactly. That's the way

00:41:26

I would put it in

00:41:27

here. I think it's in

00:41:28

its infancy and different organizations

00:41:30

have gone about in a

00:41:32

different ways and I don't

00:41:33

think it has been mastered

00:41:34

yet, but we're seeing beta

00:41:37

attempt at jams. In particular,

00:41:40

was the first provider organization



00:41:44
to wish you a set

00:41:45
of rules in April and

00:41:47
I'll talk about those and

00:41:49
then the Silicon Valley arbitration

00:41:51
& mediation Center did, as

00:41:53
well as well, talk about

00:41:54
in it. Later in this

00:41:55
parking but the jams rules

00:41:57
cover disputes involving artificial intelligence.

00:42:00
Where the subject matter of

00:42:02
the dispute is a i

00:42:04
and it has to start

00:42:06
with the definition of what



00:42:07

is a i as all

00:42:09

of you and I'll talk

00:42:09

to our court rules as

00:42:11

well and then stopped. So

00:42:13

the the definition is is

00:42:15

is got to be the

00:42:17

foundation of an inch of

00:42:18

hard, definition. The right and

00:42:20

I don't think I've read

00:42:20

one yet. That is totally

00:42:22

satisfying and the jams definition

00:42:25

is mint. Most of them

00:42:26

at 8 to talk to



00:42:26

Doug cognition. What is a

00:42:29

process? A machine-based system. Capable

00:42:33

of completing tasks. That would

00:42:35

otherwise require cognition to sell

00:42:38

week. We sliced it. What

00:42:40

is cognition is not easy?

00:42:45

It. It is does cognition

00:42:46

include a memory or hard

00:42:50

drives are cognition. That doesn't

00:42:53

include algorithms like spell. Checkers,

00:42:55

or Google, or spam filters,

00:42:58

or translator programs, where, where

00:43:00

is the line a number



00:43:02

back to college? The definition

00:43:04

of humanity? What is human

00:43:07

thinking? Some of the definition

00:43:09

of Toca? What did humans

00:43:10

do that? No, with no

00:43:11

other animal does and kind

00:43:14

of hard and it will

00:43:15

we use tools was one

00:43:16

that was there was thought

00:43:17

of as humans are tool

00:43:18

users, many found a at

00:43:21

8, that would take a

00:43:22

break off a stick and



00:43:24

use it to scrape off

00:43:25

and sticks to eat. And

00:43:27

I think the stick would

00:43:28

qualify as a tool and

00:43:30

then, they tried language. Humans

00:43:32

are the only animals that

00:43:33

used languages but then this

00:43:36

app called Washoe or chimpanzee

00:43:38

learn 350 signs. They taught

00:43:42

her sign language and she

00:43:44

even created her own language.

00:43:45

She saw swan She hadn't

00:43:48

learned the word for Swan.



00:43:49

So she use the word

00:43:50

water and bird called it

00:43:53

a water bird, which is

00:43:55

creating language. So, I don't

00:43:57

know how we're going to

00:43:58

limit this. How we're going

00:43:59

to a cabin in what

00:44:00

artificial intelligence is, but we

00:44:01

start with cognition, the jams

00:44:04

rules, have a few Provisions,

00:44:06

they put in added protection,

00:44:08

these large language models and

00:44:10

systems like them are, highly



00:44:12
confidential, and trade secrets, and

00:44:16
Ro James recognizes the parties

00:44:17
in using those processes for

00:44:19
1 protections as presumptive protective

00:44:22
order that goes in, and

00:44:24
I should say, all these

00:44:25
rules are presumptive involuntary, we

00:44:27
are roll, my role is

00:44:28
to do, give the parties

00:44:29
the process that they want

00:44:31
that. They contracted for, but

00:44:34
these are suggestions jams, give

00:44:36
They are. I said that



00:44:38

you can be with the

00:44:39

sensitivity. The expert Witnesses are

00:44:42

sometimes about the conference with

00:44:44

most confidential information is expertise,

00:44:47

only not even lawyers eyes.

00:44:50

Only only goes to the

00:44:51

experts, I am in the

00:44:53

reports can be with you

00:44:54

but not the subject matter

00:44:55

itself at the courts are

00:44:57

doing it in different ways

00:44:58

as well. And we see

00:45:00

a full spectrum in my



00:45:01

experience. Most of the course

00:45:02

our silent. They haven't figured

00:45:04

it out yet, they don't

00:45:05

know what it is, they

00:45:06

don't know what they want

00:45:07

to do with it, and

00:45:07

I don't want to get

00:45:08

you far in front of

00:45:09

it, but some courts have

00:45:11

been quite restrictive. There's one

00:45:13

in the southern district of

00:45:14

Ohio, Ohio Judge Newman, prohibits,

00:45:18

the use of artificial intelligence,



00:45:19

other than legal search engines.

00:45:23

And that struck me as

00:45:25

quite restrictive is a legal

00:45:26

search engine. Only Westlaw or

00:45:29

Lexus does that mean you

00:45:30

can't even use Google to

00:45:32

write your briefs. Other courts

00:45:35

have said, you must have

00:45:36

disclosed. Whenever you use an

00:45:39

AI tool for research for

00:45:41

drafting sounds reasonable, does that

00:45:43

mean you need to disclose

00:45:44

spell check on your word?



00:45:47

Is that an artificial intelligence

00:45:48

algorithm only require disclosure of

00:45:51

generativity I or the creates

00:45:54

out, put some disclosure for

00:45:58

others, some, but on others,

00:45:59

the District of Montana requires

00:46:01

disclosure for people, not in

00:46:03

Montana Pro, Hawk Beach. A

00:46:05

lawyer's outside Montana, but they

00:46:07

don't make Montana lawyers, do

00:46:09

it because they trust the

00:46:11

people in Montana and eastern

00:46:13

district of Missouri. Using just



00:46:14

your texts prohibit, Pro Se

00:46:16

litigants from using artificial intelligence

00:46:19

but allow people who passed

00:46:21

the bar, some of these

00:46:23

Does discriminatory on all of

00:46:26

them I think are still

00:46:27

working on figuring out where

00:46:28

they want to be. So

00:46:29

we'll see how it evolved.

00:46:32

If I think will be

00:46:33

very interesting for a members

00:46:34

of the audience that you

00:46:35

could share. Perhaps some, you



00:46:36

know, what type of guidance

00:46:38

is being requested by parties

00:46:39

in the arbitration proceedings, where

00:46:41

you stood as an arbitrator?

00:46:43

What type of guidance has

00:46:44

been requested by parties in

00:46:45

relation to AI. Yeah, I

00:46:48

think it's starting out for

00:46:49

parties to I think parties

00:46:50

are figure out what they

00:46:51

want to do. If it's

00:46:52

a check case, the parties

00:46:53

can be sophisticated in that



00:46:55

case, they have often come

00:46:56

up with their own proposals

00:46:59

on how they're going to

00:46:59

do with confidentiality the jams

00:47:01

guidelines. Give some proposals, but

00:47:04

if they're sophisticated, they know

00:47:05

what they're doing when they

00:47:06

come to me and if

00:47:07

they're not, they often don't

00:47:09

even talk about it. But

00:47:10

I think we're going to

00:47:10

see it more and more.

00:47:13

Faith, we have about 30



00:47:16

minutes left more or less

00:47:17

is dive into the second

00:47:20

segment of our panel will

00:47:21

talk about the transformative impact

00:47:23

of the ion law firms

00:47:25

as businesses. And so, let's

00:47:28

start with with k. As

00:47:36

a paralegal, right? How do

00:47:37

you see Jenny? I impacting

00:47:39

the workflow within a law

00:47:43

firm. I'm sorry that. That's,

00:47:53

that's a very heavy question

00:47:54

because there's so many ways



00:47:56
that the gym is a.

00:47:58
I can affect I just

00:48:01
making sure I cover a

00:48:02
bunch of different areas where

00:48:05
there's this is because it

00:48:07
affects the workforce and workflow

00:48:08
that work for us. It

00:48:10
is how we work. We

00:48:16
are professionals and How can

00:48:22
we learn about these tools?

00:48:23
Are there upskilling excetera excetera?

00:48:25
And I think most of

00:48:32
us can agree that we're



00:48:34

very slow to adopt everything

00:48:37

from cybersecurity / convenience. A

00:48:41

few of you might remember

00:48:42

that back in a couple

00:48:43

years ago, we had this

00:48:44

debate about the cloud where

00:48:47

where we going to put

00:48:49

these documents, how dangerous is

00:48:50

the cloud, the cloud, the

00:48:52

cloud. And now we have

00:48:53

documents on our on our

00:48:55

phones or social media was

00:48:59

very restrictive. Even today, the



00:49:01

things I drop box box

00:49:03

for somebody that you can't

00:49:04

access a certain FTP site.

00:49:05

So right now the legal

00:49:08

industry is really behind yet

00:49:09

we're talking about this AI.

00:49:11

That access is a lot

00:49:12

of that that technology many

00:49:15

firms decide to pick a

00:49:18

cheaper technology. PCS phone systems

00:49:22

even the video conference room

00:49:24

systems and don't zoom in

00:49:25

and because they're not built



00:49:26

for Zoom or for what

00:49:28

it is today. So it

00:49:31

is the legal industry is

00:49:32

really behind in that area

00:49:33

for many different reasons. But

00:49:36

really another, I think of

00:49:39

food for thought I was.

00:49:42

In a big by Pillsbury

00:49:45

in AI test for us

00:49:46

to join them in a

00:49:47

congressional hearing and the first

00:49:49

thing they said to me

00:49:50

at the at the at



00:49:51
the meeting every time that

00:49:55
you use Chachi BT to

00:49:57
ask a question. You're consuming

00:50:01
enough energy. Power to home.

00:50:05
Every time that's a lot,

00:50:07
right? And that impact in

00:50:10
the enormous from How you

00:50:15
use it to how often

00:50:16
you wanting use it to

00:50:18
cement cost are not on

00:50:22
my own environmental by the

00:50:24
actual value of it. And

00:50:26
the reason is because some



00:50:29
people don't really understand it,

00:50:30
but the reason is if

00:50:32
if you can compare listening

00:50:34
to an MP3 on a

00:50:35
tape deck to a vinyl

00:50:38
to what it is today,

00:50:39
the quality is different audio

00:50:42
can be different if you're

00:50:44
ever watching a video on

00:50:45
a VHF vs. Today, the

00:50:48
quality can be different but

00:50:49
you can still see it

00:50:50
data. Doesn't work that same



00:50:53

way. You can't buffer data

00:50:55

because if you buffer data

00:50:57

you miss something and civilization

00:50:59

it needs to know when

00:51:01

a bee and see if

00:51:02

you skip. Be it's going

00:51:04

to hallucinate something for be

00:51:06

to get you to see.

00:51:07

So that's one of the

00:51:09

reasons why it's so expensive.

00:51:11

You have to have massive

00:51:13

servers just to answer a

00:51:14

question. So that's where you



00:51:16
really difficult. And not understanding

00:51:18
that already puts you heard

00:51:20
of it at a negative.

00:51:21
Why is it listening? What

00:51:23
is the impact? They are.

00:51:24
In fact, there have been,

00:51:27
I can't really go into

00:51:28
this with back with the

00:51:30
time, but it just consumes.

00:51:32
A lot of just a

00:51:34
lot of energy, a lot

00:51:36
of resources and quite frankly,

00:51:38
the players are in the



00:51:41

last inning in this year

00:51:43

alone in the US are

00:51:45

about been about 5,500, new

00:51:48

startups in the AI industry.

00:51:50

So when I was showing

00:51:52

you some screens earlier before

00:51:54

about brainstorming, what other resources

00:51:57

and maybe even Discovery, there's

00:51:59

there's hundreds of them and

00:52:01

trying to decide between one

00:52:02

and the other is a

00:52:03

complex at some point. We're

00:52:06

going to get through this



00:52:07

day. I first or going

00:52:09

to the bubbles in a

00:52:10

kind of like we went

00:52:11

through the website. It's in

00:52:13

a birthday. We're going to

00:52:14

have a limp Feel. So

00:52:16

then we'll be able to

00:52:17

start redefining and giving feedback

00:52:20

and and really focusing on

00:52:23

a few key players or

00:52:24

right now, everyone has their

00:52:25

hands on it and so

00:52:26

we're all trying to figure



00:52:27

it out and they're all

00:52:28

providing hallucination some of the

00:52:30

companies emerging here and there

00:52:33

And really the the change

00:52:36

and I get the answer.

00:52:37

Now this these three questions.

00:52:41

Are you sure he's going

00:52:41

to have to speed up

00:52:42

just to catch up to,

00:52:44

to all the change? There's

00:52:46

going to be. But let's

00:52:47

say from the 5000 ob10

00:52:49

that we use, that does



00:52:51

it all. Because these days

00:52:53

we're looking for one platform

00:52:55

to to give you an

00:52:56

image from the from another

00:52:59

one, we're pretty music or

00:53:01

creating briefs for doing Discovery.

00:53:03

So many different things we're

00:53:06

going to have smaller players

00:53:07

to be able to do

00:53:09

more of those on the

00:53:10

checklist and Really, it's going

00:53:15

to be. We're going to

00:53:19

have this age where it



00:53:19

where we're going to have

00:53:21

to be less reliant on

00:53:22

Junior. Turning is doing some

00:53:25

of this brainstorming trying to

00:53:26

figure out the forms because

00:53:27

I mean as you had

00:53:29

just shown this this Aflac

00:53:31

on Monday. That's amazing. That's

00:53:34

amazing. That you can do

00:53:35

that. How reliable is the

00:53:38

senior partner going to be

00:53:39

on a first-year right out

00:53:41

of the hospital when I



00:53:44

can probably test this out.

00:53:46

So you're going to end

00:53:48

up having staff paralegals. Maybe,

00:53:52

I'm kind of like today,

00:53:53

we have staff attorney at

00:53:54

the focus on discovery of

00:53:56

your have staff attorneys. The

00:53:58

focus on the AI and

00:54:00

developing and checking the resources.

00:54:01

Apparently, look like myself would

00:54:04

be more specialized in this

00:54:05

technology. Checking the technology knowing

00:54:07

what to look for the



00:54:10
structure of law. Firms will

00:54:12
at some point change. It's

00:54:15
happened in the last 10

00:54:17
years, we used to have

00:54:18
legal secretaries, who had one

00:54:19
per partner. It's in things

00:54:21
have changed with technology. Now

00:54:23
most attorneys can type for

00:54:25
themselves and I think this

00:54:30
is also going to bring

00:54:31
in a new age of.

00:54:32
Now, you have this opportunity,

00:54:34
you have all this free



00:54:35
time. You could do is

00:54:40
three hours what you used

00:54:41
to do and eat. Well,

00:54:43
the billable hour then doesn't

00:54:45
really make sense because you're,

00:54:46
you're making your client paid

00:54:48
for being less efficient. If

00:54:52
you enter the world of

00:54:53
legal Ops, there's an entire

00:54:55
Community out there, thousand thousand,

00:54:57
people of legal Ops, most

00:54:59
of them will tell you

00:54:59
the billable hour does not



00:55:01

make sense. In fact, I

00:55:03

had a client potential client

00:55:06

Issued company. And they told

00:55:08

me that they give all

00:55:10

of their business to this

00:55:12

one firm because they don't

00:55:15

do the billable hour to

00:55:16

just give him a flat

00:55:17

fee. That include everything from

00:55:20

The cause questions question about

00:55:24

the discovery, everything interests can

00:55:26

close everything and they rather

00:55:28

do it that way. Then



00:55:29

having to go through hours

00:55:32

of reading billable time that

00:55:35

people hate, I don't know

00:55:36

how many people like entering

00:55:37

time to begin with. I

00:55:39

am surprised if this is

00:55:40

still, if it's still a

00:55:41

thing. I mean that are

00:55:42

from were exploring this, this

00:55:43

option of changing or at

00:55:45

least or those companies that

00:55:47

want to do it this

00:55:48

way. So there's a lot



00:55:49
that day I is going

00:55:51
to allow us to do

00:55:53
everything and we've done it

00:55:55
before, I guess we're no

00:55:57
longer accepting fax machine. I

00:55:59
don't know how many people

00:56:00
have fax numbers on their,

00:56:01
on their business card things

00:56:04
change. And a I will

00:56:05
allow us to not just

00:56:06
change brain store, is that

00:56:08
allowed to change. So, so

00:56:10
many different things, and I'm



00:56:12
excited about it, to be

00:56:13
honest, but it's going to

00:56:14
be a huge impact. Do

00:56:18
you share a k u?

00:56:21
Well, I might be a

00:56:22
little naively optimistic, but in

00:56:25
terms of the billable hour

00:56:27
to see some challenges, but

00:56:29
I'm hopeful that with the

00:56:31
synthesizing tools of you. No

00:56:35
pay. I that more Junior

00:56:38
lawyers can use these tools

00:56:41
to you, no, cut down



00:56:43

time that you would normally

00:56:44

spend on something like a

00:56:46

huge document review or you're

00:56:48

really looking at, you know,

00:56:51

Non relevant documents for hours.

00:56:54

Or, you know, you could

00:56:56

leverage that tool to help

00:56:58

you identify potentially the most

00:57:00

relevant documents the hot documents

00:57:02

and then spend more time

00:57:03

actually looking at those relevant

00:57:05

documents because I think a

00:57:07

huge challenges sometimes we get



00:57:10
these large data sets and

00:57:12
it takes so long time

00:57:13
of looking through them to

00:57:14
identify the relevant documents, we

00:57:16
really missed out on the

00:57:17
time. We could be filling

00:57:18
in analyzing these documents more

00:57:20
thoroughly and building our case.

00:57:22
So you know I'm hopeful

00:57:23
that it will eventually even

00:57:25
sells out, you know, the

00:57:27
extent that which will be

00:57:29
seen. But I think it's



00:57:31
just going to take a

00:57:31
little bit of creative thinking

00:57:33
on our end on how

00:57:34
we can sort of Leverage

00:57:35
these tools to be more

00:57:36
efficient in areas that are

00:57:38
accessible and you know an

00:57:40
efficient and sort of focus

00:57:42
on things that really make

00:57:43
us valuable as counsel and

00:57:46
so I mean maybe that's

00:57:48
that's a little naive but

00:57:50
that's kind of what I'm



00:57:50

hopeful. Or I'm with these

00:57:52

tools. we're still very much

00:57:56

of the adoption the stage

00:57:58

in terms of, Implementing a

00:58:03

genuine in law, firms. And

00:58:05

then get yours to hear

00:58:07

you Liz on them, you

00:58:09

know, how is for example,

00:58:10

your firm, evaluating, which tool

00:58:13

The Firm will adopt internally,

00:58:16

are you putting together some

00:58:17

sort of an AI action

00:58:18

plan? In that sense? What



00:58:21

are some of the challenges

00:58:22

you seen professional space? Internally

00:58:26

wins first into creating a

00:58:28

itools into the workflow and

00:58:31

also you know you've mentioned

00:58:32

Junior professionals, how we going

00:58:35

to train them moving forward?

00:58:39

Sure. I think in terms

00:58:40

of evaluating the tools that

00:58:42

are formal Implement, I think

00:58:44

it's been challenging. So I

00:58:45

think I've mentioned that we

00:58:47

are licensing attorneys for Chad



00:58:50
e, p, t, a Ticker

00:58:51
and that's the only tool

00:58:52
that we're currently using and

00:58:56
it's it's just because there

00:58:58
are so many tools out

00:58:59
there. The proliferation of these

00:59:00
tools is immense and different

00:59:04
practice groups are interested in

00:59:06
different tools and I was

00:59:07
talking to our Chief Information

00:59:08
officer and she said every

00:59:10
day, she gets an email

00:59:11
from an attorney wanting to



00:59:12

use a particular tool. And

00:59:13

no we have limited resources

00:59:14

and only so much time.

00:59:17

And and so I think

00:59:20

the challenge is really understanding

00:59:22

these tools understanding their capabilities

00:59:24

and figuring out which tools

00:59:26

will apply to the most

00:59:29

lawyers at the firm and

00:59:30

what will be useful. In

00:59:33

terms of challenges that are

00:59:36

fake that we're facing, I

00:59:38

think it's just using the



00:59:39

tools in the first place.

00:59:40

And Kelly mentioned that were

00:59:42

slow to adopt in the

00:59:43

legal industry and adapts. And

00:59:44

I think one of the

00:59:45

tools is just been getting

00:59:46

people to take the training

00:59:47

and sign up for that.

00:59:48

You don't get a license

00:59:49

and and an in them

00:59:52

part of that kind of

00:59:53

goes hand-in-hand with the training

00:59:55

that you asked about you.



00:59:57

I mean, there is training

00:59:58

in the sense of you

01:00:00

need to understand the risks

01:00:01

associated with tragedy PT, but

01:00:03

really the training is you,

01:00:05

do you do it on

01:00:05

your own? I mean, you

01:00:06

just have to use the

01:00:07

tool understand how you can

01:00:09

manipulate it play around. And

01:00:11

I think, you know, when

01:00:13

there's not a set training

01:00:14

courses to Sara Lee, I



01:00:15

think a lot of attorneys

01:00:17

can find that challenging. So

01:00:19

I think what we've seen

01:00:21

is just people are slow

01:00:22

to adopt that people are

01:00:23

slow to sign up for

01:00:25

these tools that are available.

01:00:26

And I think that's a

01:00:32

rare it's a real opportunity

01:00:33

for junior Associates to kind

01:00:35

of get in early and

01:00:36

really become experts and leveraging

01:00:37

these tools. So I think



01:00:40

that's a summary McKelvey. Any

01:00:45

comments It is. I agree

01:00:50

with me with your points

01:00:52

and it made me think

01:00:54

about it. I still get

01:00:56

asked to help an attorney

01:00:58

here and there to PDF

01:01:00

a document that is so

01:01:01

Advanced. You definitely need to

01:01:05

spend some time and learn

01:01:07

the tools. I think it

01:01:11

really needs to go beyond

01:01:13

just the attorneys because I've



01:01:15

been out the last two

01:01:17

from you. I've been at

01:01:17

restricted, only to attorneys and

01:01:21

we we need to see

01:01:23

different points of views. Not

01:01:24

only with with, with her

01:01:25

with her attorneys it maybe

01:01:27

our experts because there is

01:01:31

such a bias as to

01:01:32

what you say, how you

01:01:33

asked the question to make

01:01:35

a big difference in the

01:01:37

result, you get? And I



01:01:40

think to this day there,

01:01:41

many people who receive an

01:01:42

email and you asked questions

01:01:44

wrong. So, I gave you

01:01:46

the wrong answer or the

01:01:47

cancer that you do. Smart

01:01:48

looking and touchy with you,

01:01:50

just going to take you

01:01:51

literally just exactly the same

01:01:53

way. So you really do

01:01:54

need to invest that time

01:01:56

and in different levels. Just

01:01:59

attorneys or just a second



01:02:01

group and really wants you

01:02:03

to do. I think feedback

01:02:04

on Monday, your colleagues is

01:02:05

really important. Death is a,

01:02:09

you know, we talked about

01:02:10

how long friends will train

01:02:12

their junior Associates as a

01:02:14

professor at the University level?

01:02:16

How are you viewing? The

01:02:20

incorporation of Jenna and into

01:02:22

Academy on for a 2

01:02:23

to help? The younger generation

01:02:27

face with the upcoming Harvard,



01:02:33

BB many professors are are

01:02:35

scared of it and I

01:02:37

need to get the large

01:02:38

law firms. Where I was

01:02:39

parking for 15 years. People

01:02:41

are are scared of it,

01:02:42

some lawyers and professors are

01:02:45

early adopters, and you talk

01:02:47

about late adopters and early.

01:02:50

Some of them are all

01:02:50

over this, but some of

01:02:52

them are scared of it.

01:02:53

And I know at that



01:02:56
should leave. There were some

01:02:58
Partners who couldn't PDF document

01:03:02
and other said that that

01:03:04
were adapt, I do think

01:03:07
that the law firms are

01:03:11
Are conservative and and cautious

01:03:14
by Nature. Lawyers are cautious,

01:03:17
people. And I think that

01:03:20
there's some profit fears. I

01:03:24
know the law firms used

01:03:26
to make a lot of

01:03:26
money on a discovery sending

01:03:29
teams of Associates to review



01:03:31

boxes of documents. I know

01:03:33

a lot of lot of

01:03:34

profit to be had there

01:03:35

and then they clients figured

01:03:37

out that you could hire

01:03:39

outside counsel outside contract attorneys

01:03:43

to review the documents for

01:03:44

half the price or less

01:03:46

and then they figured out

01:03:47

you can use computers to

01:03:50

do it even quicker, and

01:03:51

we're now seeing the point

01:03:52

where a, I will do



01:03:53

junior-level associate. Ask Chad CPT.

01:03:58

As of earlier this year,

01:04:00

can now pass the bar

01:04:01

in every state in America

01:04:03

and Chachi PT past the

01:04:06

l s a t at

01:04:07

the 90th percentile, which may

01:04:09

get them into a t-14

01:04:11

law school, they're going to

01:04:13

start creeping into things that

01:04:14

Junior Associates could do. I

01:04:16

make Partners recognize that they're

01:04:18

going to creep into the



01:04:19

things that partner so I

01:04:20

could do perhaps not in

01:04:21

our lifetime achievement off and

01:04:23

said there are profit concerns

01:04:26

as well about adopting this.

01:04:29

And just from an arbitrator's

01:04:31

perspective, what role is any?

01:04:33

Do you see Jenny? I

01:04:34

make might take in decision-making

01:04:37

processes. Yeah, I think it's

01:04:41

coming. I do I I

01:04:44

remember when people thought that

01:04:46

Jess would always be a



01:04:50
human activity that the computers

01:04:52
and never be able to

01:04:53
crack it on the way

01:04:54
human beings I can. And

01:04:57
infirm any years they couldn't

01:04:59
they got better to better

01:05:01
at beating players like me

01:05:02
but the Grandmasters what? Always

01:05:04
we've always win and then

01:05:06
it was 1997 when deep

01:05:09
blue from IBM, beat Garry

01:05:11
Kasparov and actually freaked him

01:05:13
out at one point in



01:05:14

one of the game's halfway

01:05:16

through. He was you could

01:05:19

see it in his eyes.

01:05:20

He's like, I don't understand

01:05:22

what their computer just did.

01:05:23

I don't understand that move

01:05:25

and they talked to him

01:05:27

afterwards and he said, I

01:05:29

have no Carpenter that, then

01:05:31

it, it must be much

01:05:33

smarter than I am. In

01:05:36

order to think of that

01:05:37

moved, cuz I can't explain



01:05:38
why I did it. And

01:05:39
it turned out The IBM

01:05:40
people admitted that there was

01:05:42
a glitch in the in

01:05:44
the park around and it

01:05:45
was stuck in an infinite

01:05:46
Loop. So it just moved

01:05:47
randomly, played really badly the

01:05:53
next game because of it

01:05:53
but now you're your phone

01:05:55
will be at the greatest

01:05:56
Grandmasters in the world. I

01:05:59
think it's coming in in



01:06:01
arbitration. In adjudication, I talked

01:06:06
about the Silicon Valley rules.

01:06:07
I think those are interesting

01:06:08
and attempted being specific on

01:06:11
the role that we could

01:06:13
restrict arbitrators to or guide

01:06:15
arbitrators on. They suggest that

01:06:20
you can submit confidential information

01:06:23
as an arbitrator which I

01:06:24
think is obvious goes without

01:06:26
saying they stay will be

01:06:28
a little bit that arbitrators

01:06:29
do not have to disclose



01:06:31
when they use artificial intelligence.

01:06:33
And that was a choice,

01:06:34
Silicon Valley made. I make

01:06:37
Others May want it disclosed

01:06:39
professors. Often I require then

01:06:41
my student they can use

01:06:43
it, I allow him using

01:06:44
it but they have to

01:06:45
say what they used it

01:06:46
for and what outputs they

01:06:48
they got I you're not

01:06:50
allowed as an arbitrator Silicon

01:06:52
Valley rules to rely on



01:06:54

AI generated information, without notifying

01:06:58

the parties and allowing them

01:07:00

to comment on it, which

01:07:01

would be my practice for

01:07:02

any outside research, wishes I

01:07:04

said at the start, I'm

01:07:05

reluctant to do. If I

01:07:07

think it's critical, I will

01:07:08

do it. But then I'm

01:07:09

going to give the parties

01:07:10

notice and opportunity to be

01:07:11

heard on what I found

01:07:13

out. I need the Silicon



01:07:15

Valley rules so you can't

01:07:16

use AI to falsify evidence

01:07:19

or mislead the arbitrator. I

01:07:21

would hope that would go

01:07:22

without saying I was a

01:07:23

little surprised to see that

01:07:24

they thought they needed to

01:07:25

be direct about that. And

01:07:28

then you said, you need

01:07:29

to verify your output because

01:07:31

you are responsible for it,

01:07:32

which I think is all

01:07:33

all so obvious. But that



01:07:35

and it is worth underlining

01:07:37

because people you and your

01:07:40

stupid lawyers getting in trouble

01:07:42

for citing a hallucinated cases

01:07:44

that don't exist. That'll be

01:07:45

headed off. If you make

01:07:47

sure you're you verify that

01:07:49

our bottom line it in

01:07:50

these rules are rules can

01:07:52

enhance efficiency and provide insights,

01:07:55

but the arbitrator must make

01:07:56

the ultimate decision and that

01:07:58

I very much agree with



01:08:03

Pink and we can open

01:08:04

up the floor for questions.

01:08:07

We have maybe time for

01:08:08

one or two questions. Is

01:08:10

there any? Nobody has a

01:08:12

question in the audience or

01:08:14

virtually or VertuoLine Yes, sir.

01:08:43

So my question would be

01:08:46

related to orbital institutions. If

01:08:48

you have any thoughts about

01:08:49

the role that arbitration abitor

01:08:51

institutions should play into maybe

01:08:54

or either died or proactively



01:08:57

implementing certain source and sore.

01:09:01

Thank you so much. Anybody

01:09:06

wants to take this one,

01:09:07

I can dress it at

01:09:09

2. If you like I

01:09:10

just came back from ICC

01:09:12

Miami and they have announced

01:09:14

that they've just launched an

01:09:15

AI commission to look into

01:09:18

these issues. I know, I

01:09:21

see. She's also looking for

01:09:23

your case management purposes to

01:09:24

integrate a high-potential internally. But



01:09:27

yes, the question is who

01:09:29

will be the leader in

01:09:32

terms of putting together guidance,

01:09:34

right? So is it the

01:09:35

arbitration situation? Is it d?

01:09:36

I v a and with

01:09:38

the idea has its own

01:09:39

as far as we've heard

01:09:43

Jeff on the Silicon Valley,

01:09:45

mediation and arbitration Center that

01:09:47

they've issued by that. Triple

01:09:48

A has a suit guidelines.

01:09:49

John's has issued guidelines. Thankfully,



01:09:51
they all seem to be

01:09:52
going in the same direction

01:09:53
but let's see how it

01:09:56
evolved soon. Would you like

01:10:01
to add anything? Jeff. Are

01:10:02
ya? Sure. I do not

01:10:03
have touched on that, and

01:10:05
I agree with what you

01:10:06
said, any I think that

01:10:08
the the jams has got

01:10:10
out in front of it

01:10:11
in April, but with sort

01:10:13
of a cautious and limited



01:10:14
approach on Silicon Valley, with

01:10:16
a little further, some of

01:10:18
the courts that talk about

01:10:20
have gone. I had too

01:10:21
far and it and there's

01:10:23
a wait-and-see approach. You don't

01:10:25
want, if you want to

01:10:25
get too far out in

01:10:26
front of it. If you

01:10:27
ban it, you've been too

01:10:28
much. If you ban part

01:10:32
of it have you have

01:10:34
you gone too far on



01:10:35
that way. How do you

01:10:35
define it is tricky? You

01:10:37
know, dude, is it you

01:10:40
mean to cover Google or

01:10:41
search engines or scale Checkers,

01:10:44
or just chat CPT and

01:10:48
how do you limit it

01:10:49
to chat? CPT disclosure will

01:10:52
be the first thing requiring

01:10:53
that you that you disclose

01:10:55
it but I wouldn't be

01:10:56
in it at this point.

01:10:57
I would put limits on



01:10:59

confer. Reality which arbitral institutions

01:11:01

already do and I leave

01:11:04

it up to the parties,

01:11:05

I think we're not quite

01:11:07

sure we have with it

01:11:08

yet, so I don't know

01:11:09

how I would draft it.

01:11:10

I think I would think

01:11:11

I would go without what

01:11:12

James did in Silicon Valley

01:11:13

did Any other questions from

01:11:18

the audience? Yes. I think

01:11:29

you, I thought I'd like



01:11:32
to ask a question directed

01:11:33
to all of you which

01:11:34
is, could you gaze into

01:11:35
the crystal ball and tell

01:11:37
us what you would like,

01:11:38
Jen AI or AI to

01:11:40
be doing for you and

01:11:42
your respective roles into the

01:11:44
future. That's not quite doing

01:11:46
yet or the not fully

01:11:48
developed enough to be doing.

01:11:54
Who wants to start? That

01:12:11
was occurring to be is



01:12:12

I was thinking about your

01:12:13

answer, you know, if this

01:12:14

reaches all the way to

01:12:16

the point where it's better,

01:12:18

as I had clients, that

01:12:19

should be, who were coming

01:12:21

up with algorithms, that would

01:12:23

diagnose disease and some of

01:12:28

them thought there to get

01:12:29

better than and doctors. If

01:12:30

you can analyze the entire

01:12:33

Human Genome, and you have

01:12:35

data from her buddy's, Apple



01:12:36
watches, and wearables, and billions

01:12:41
of data points, you might

01:12:44
be able to be better

01:12:45
than oncologist. Yuki find out

01:12:47
every treatment for every genome

01:12:49
for every type of cancer

01:12:50
that has ever happened in

01:12:52
the history of when they

01:12:53
started collecting data. On call,

01:12:57
just can't do that. And

01:12:58
perhaps if you computers get

01:12:59
there, they'll be better than

01:13:01
the doctors if we've been



01:13:01

back. It will be malpractice.

01:13:03

Not to go to church.

01:13:04

EBT to diagnose your cancer

01:13:07

and I can I can

01:13:08

conceive of it conceive of

01:13:10

it in the arbitration world

01:13:11

as well. And I was

01:13:12

thinking about that on the

01:13:13

subway this morning. If you

01:13:14

sees things get good enough,

01:13:16

the fight won't be over.

01:13:18

Which arbitrator you choose? Or

01:13:20

do you go with jams



01:13:21

or some other organization that

01:13:23

switch algorithm? Do you choose

01:13:25

which, which large language model

01:13:27

do you choose the fights

01:13:28

will be over that? I

01:13:31

think it'll be all my

01:13:32

children or grandchildren, generation that

01:13:35

fights the troubles with that,

01:13:36

but I wouldn't be surprised

01:13:38

if it comes. Unless something

01:13:39

blows up miss, something that

01:13:40

reasoning that are the confidence

01:13:45

you out in lawsuits against



01:13:47

Jackson. VP T4 ingesting, copyrighted

01:13:50

information that you perhaps some

01:13:52

Court will decide that the

01:13:54

whole system is not viable.

01:13:56

but short of that, I

01:13:57

expect incremental progress until it,

01:13:59

if gets like the chess

01:14:00

computers, I hope we'll see

01:14:04

you better access to Justice,

01:14:05

frankly, and transparent main part

01:14:12

of our mission to bring

01:14:13

more transparency, accessibility to the

01:14:16

law and power. Google and



01:14:18
the global rule of law,

01:14:19
really, I think, you know,

01:14:22
down the road as, as,

01:14:22
you know, Chad will be

01:14:26
able to complete more complex

01:14:28
legal task. I think, you

01:14:30
know, it'll be very end

01:14:31
and Brad's law. Firms. Will

01:14:33
start adapting. Their business models

01:14:35
not going to billing for

01:14:36
the billable hour but to

01:14:38
other types of business models

01:14:39
and potentially some of the



01:14:41
underserved and types of clients,

01:14:46
you know, consumers or small

01:14:48
and medium-sized businesses, might have

01:14:52
better access to legal representation,

01:14:53
thanks to these types of

01:14:55
tools. I think I would

01:14:58
had three things on that.

01:15:00
Wishlist on my wishlist has

01:15:02
been specially, When I would

01:15:05
love for AI to somehow

01:15:07
connect, this better, I love

01:15:10
networking as much as the

01:15:12
next guy, but trying to



01:15:15
beat 100 people. In one

01:15:17
week is very hard. You

01:15:19
only get to meet like

01:15:20
a handful and it's so

01:15:21
finding that those genuine connections

01:15:23
that you're looking for. I

01:15:25
think AI has value. Has

01:15:27
a potential like them, that

01:15:29
would be on my wishlist

01:15:30
second thing. Citations, we grass,

01:15:36
Mondays, blue books, for a

01:15:37
Chicago man. You was in

01:15:38
August stuff. When really many



01:15:40
of us, at least an

01:15:40
arbitration, we submit the document.

01:15:42
Like where are we on

01:15:44
the citation? Everybody has a

01:15:46
different style and we spent

01:15:47
a lot of time with

01:15:47
the blue book is on

01:15:49
their 28th wedding something Edition.

01:15:52
So they they still can't

01:15:52
figure it out and the

01:15:55
third is how we write

01:15:57
and we still write very

01:15:59
much like we're still on



01:16:00
the typewriter. I just a

01:16:01
very fancy typewriter. There used

01:16:04
to be several years ago,

01:16:06
the opportunity and ability to

01:16:08
create things on, either an

01:16:11
iPad or something like that,

01:16:12
where you could, as you're

01:16:14
talkin, the terms would pop

01:16:16
up or you're referencing a

01:16:18
document, the document would be

01:16:19
embedded in their over. So

01:16:21
very relying on her paper.

01:16:22
So we go back to



01:16:23
typing on a on a

01:16:25
typewriter and that really limits

01:16:27
the potential of how far

01:16:29
we can go and how

01:16:32
much we can read and

01:16:33
how large is beef need

01:16:34
to be. So I would

01:16:35
hope for AI to somehow

01:16:37
help us revolutionized at that

01:16:39
area. Is you have the

01:16:40
final word I think I

01:16:46
would know Echo what everyone

01:16:47
said and I agree with



01:16:50

Kelby, you know, I wish

01:16:52

I could leverage the AI

01:16:55

tools to help with business

01:16:56

development and somewhere. Maybe I'm

01:16:58

just not creative enough to

01:16:59

think of it but I

01:17:00

think that that's some you

01:17:02

know always a challenge and

01:17:03

the legal profession. So I

01:17:06

think that's something I would

01:17:08

focus on it. I find

01:17:09

it very useful for like

01:17:10

my everyday. Okay, well, thank



01:17:13

you very much. Please join

01:17:14

me in thanking our panelists.

01:17:20

And thank you for joining

01:17:22

us this morning. Botha online

01:17:24

and in-person thank you. Streaker

01:17:33

at a tennis ball, start

01:17:35

the next hour or so.

01:17:40

Start attempt.